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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-A</td>
<td>Arterial–arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-V</td>
<td>Arterial–venous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV</td>
<td>Arteriovenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVF</td>
<td>Arteriovenous fistula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>Arteriovenous graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCPRA</td>
<td>BC Provincial Renal Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>Buttonhole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNeph(C)</td>
<td>Canadian Nurses Association Specialty Certification – Certified in Nephrology Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANNT</td>
<td>Canadian Association of Nephrology Nurses and Technologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEN</td>
<td>Clinical Educators Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAC</td>
<td>Canadian Hemodialysis Access Coordinators Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>Chronic Kidney Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNNP</td>
<td>Canadian Nephrology Nurse Practitioners Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-BSI</td>
<td>Catheter-related bloodstream infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSN-CPG</td>
<td>Canadian Society of Nephrology – Clinical Practice Guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVAD</td>
<td>Central venous access device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVC</td>
<td>Central venous catheter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPPS</td>
<td>Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVP</td>
<td>Dynamic venous pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBPG</td>
<td>European Best Practice Guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>End-Stage Renal Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR</td>
<td>Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V</td>
<td>Dialysis Adequacy Calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Master of Nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS</td>
<td>Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus Aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKF-KDOQI</td>
<td>National Kidney Foundation – Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Normal saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Nurse Practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORN</td>
<td>Ontario Renal Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>Arterial pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qa</td>
<td>Access flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qb</td>
<td>Blood flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>Registered Nurse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAO</td>
<td>Registered Nurses Association of Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URR</td>
<td>Urea Reduction Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Vascular Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAC</td>
<td>Vascular Access Coordinators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td>Venous pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-A</td>
<td>Venous–arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-V</td>
<td>Venous–venous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PREAMBLE

Members of the Canadian Hemodialysis Access Coordinators Network (CHAC), Clinical Renal Educators and Canadian Nephrology Nurse Practitioners (CNNP) groups collaborated to establish nursing recommendations for the management of new and established arteriovenous (AV) accesses and hemodialysis central venous catheters (CVC). The term ‘group’ or ‘working group’ refers to the above-mentioned members. The term ‘hemodialysis’ and ‘dialysis’ will be used interchangeably throughout this document to refer to hemodialysis (HD) treatment, not peritoneal dialysis. The term ‘nurse’ refers to registered nurses and registered practical nurses with formal theoretical and practical hemodialysis knowledge and training.

The document includes recommendations and guidelines intended to assist clinicians in the treatment and management of vascular access for individual dialysis units and nephrology programs. These guidelines apply to vascular access care and management for adult HD patients, and may not be applicable for pediatric HD patients (under the age of 18 years).

The working group recommends that these guidelines are revised every five years.
Nursing Terminology

**Clinical practice guidelines**

It is the opinion of the working group that recommendations for the care and management of vascular accesses for adult hemodialysis patients are based on research (where it exists), published guidelines, or evidence-based practice (e.g., Canadian Society of Nephrology Clinical Practice Guidelines for vascular access, [Jindal et al., 2006]; National Kidney Foundation-Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative [NKF-KDOQI] guidelines for vascular access update [NKF, 2006].)

**Evidence-based practice**

Evidence-based practice within the context of nursing is a “problem-solving approach to the delivery of health care that integrates the best evidence from well-designed studies and patient care data, and combines it with clinical expertise and patient preferences and values” (Melnyk, Fineout-Overholt, Stillwell & Williamson, 2010, p. 51).

“Evidence-based practice means integrating the best available research evidence with information about patient preferences, clinical skill level, and available resources to make decisions about patient care” (Ciliska, Pinelli, Dicenso, & Cullum, 2001, p. 520).

The pooling of nurses’ clinical expertise maximizes clinical knowledge and can support recommendation development (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1997). In such cases, the recommendations will be made where there is no formal evidence upon which to base the opinion of the group, and will be identified as evidence-informed opinion and included as clinical considerations.

**Critical thinking:**

Critical thinking is defined as the “intellectually disciplined process of actively and skillfully conceptualizing, applying, synthesizing or evaluating information.” (Zunkel, Cesarotti, Rosdahl, & McGrath, 2004, p. 161).

“Critical thinking requires clinical reasoning that is knowledge-based and creative; however, it is also contextual and is impacted by the reality of individual client needs and the practice environment in which the nurse works” (Nelson, Schouten, & Hicks, 2005, p. 170).
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Chapter 1: Recommendations for new and established arteriovenous access management in adult hemodialysis patients

INTRODUCTION
This document addresses the important role that nurses play in the management of new and established vascular accesses in the adult hemodialysis (HD) population. Nurses make a unique contribution to patient care by applying critical thinking to problem-solving and clinical decision-making (Nelson et al., 2005).

RECOMMENDATION 1: VEIN PRESERVATION
Chronic Kidney Disease recommendations:

• Vein preservation should begin in patients with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) with known Stage 4 (eGFR 15-30 ml/min) or Stage 5 (eGFR less than 15 ml/min; also known as End Stage Renal Disease), and include patients currently on hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis, and patients with a functional kidney transplant (Hakim & Himmelfarb, 2009), and continue through to all stages of CKD (BC Renal Agency, 2012; Hoggard, Saad, Schon, Vesely, & Royer, 2008; Ontario Renal Network [ORN], 2014).

• The 2006 Canadian Clinical Practice Guidelines for Vascular Access (CPG-VA) (Guideline 3.1.2) recommend preserving arm veins suitable for placement of arteriovenous (AV) access regardless of arm dominance and to instruct hospital staff and patients with developing end stage kidney disease (ESKD) to protect arms from venipuncture and intravenous catheters (Jindal et al., 2006; ORN, 2014). Complications resulting from venipunctures may render veins that are available for AV access creation unsuitable. Nurses play a vital role in educating patients about forearm and arm vein preservation (Banerjee, 2009).

• According to 2007 Evidence-based Practice Guidelines on Vascular Access (EBPG) (Guideline 1.1), an early plan for venous preservation should be a substantial part of predialysis care and education in any CKD patient regardless of choice of treatment modality (Tordoir et al., 2007); potential hemodialysis patients should ideally be referred to the Vascular Surgeon for preparing AV access when they reach Stage 4 or earlier in case of rapidly progressive nephropathy or specific clinical conditions such as diabetes or severe peripheral vascular disease (Guideline 1.3); clinical evaluation and non-invasive ultrasonography of upper extremity arteries and veins should be performed before AV access creation (Guideline 2.1); and nurses and medical staff should be involved in vein preservation and monitoring of the AV access. Every patient with CKD should have a declared plan for preserving the AV access and potential access sites (Guideline 4.1).

• Vein preservation for patients with CKD who may require long-term VA is a critical goal (Bowen Santolucito, 2001).

• Patient education highlighting treatment options and eGFR thresholds for access placements are known to be associated with improved permanent AV access placement (Gill, Abichndani, Khan, Kausz, & Pereira, 2002; Hakim & Himmelfarb, 2009; Lopez-Vargas et al., 2011).

• CPG-VA & EBPG (Guideline 1.3) recommend that patients with an eGFR from 15-30 ml/min per 1.73m² (Stage 4) are referred for fistula creation (Jindal et al., 2006; Tordoir et al., 2007).

• Vein preservation should include avoiding placement of subclavian vein catheters and peripherally inserted central catheter (PICC) lines in patients with Stage 4 or 5 CKD (Guideline 3.1.3) (Jindal et al., 2006; NKF, 2006).

• Peripherally inserted central catheters (PICC) should be avoided in patients with abnormal creatinine or eGFR (Stage 3, 4 or 5) whenever possible (Hakim & Himmelfarb, 2009). PICC lines have been shown to be associated with an increased risk of central vein stenosis and thrombosis and can cause scarring of peripheral veins. The damage to peripheral and central veins, as a result of PICC insertions, can limit possible AV access sites in the future (BC Renal Agency, 2013. Fistula First, n.d.; Turcotte, Dube, & Beauchamp, 2006).

• Patients requiring maintenance HD should ideally have a functioning permanent vascular access in place prior to initiating HD. Peritoneal dialysis should be considered as a bridge to AV fistula maturation in appropriate patients in order to avoid CVC placement (American Nephrology Nurses’ Association [ANNA], 2013).

• ANNA (2012) and CPG-VA (Jindal et al., 2006) support the recommendation that patients with kidney disease wear medical bracelets or wristbands to identify that they have CKD and include information about vein preservation (BC Renal Agency, 2012; Hakim & Himmelfarb, 2009; Fistula First, n.d.; ORN, 2014).

See Figure 1: ORN armband and Figure 2: BC Renal Agency wristband.

• Early CKD care including modality selection and AV access creation will ensure optimal start on dialysis and reduce the financial burden to the health care system (Moist, 2011). CKD care and education should include venipunctures from the dorsum part of the hands and avoiding venipunctures, intravenous, blood pressures, and saline locks in the limb planned for AV access creation or limb with a functioning vascular access (BC Renal Agency, 2012; Fistula First, n.d.; Jindal et al., 2006; ORN, 2014; Tordoir et al., 2007).
Limb restriction should be documented on the patient’s chart. To avoid unnecessary venipunctures, the working group recommends that, whenever possible, blood samples are scheduled to be obtained from the VA prior to initiating dialysis treatments (BC Renal Agency, 2012).

The National Kidney Foundation (NKF) Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative Guidelines (KDOQI) (2006) recommend that fistulae be created at least six months before the expected start of dialysis. Oliver et al. (2012) found that 81% of CKD patients with fistula creations in Ontario eventually started dialysis, suggesting that clinicians are selecting appropriate patients, but that fistula creations are occurring later in the course of CKD than most guidelines recommend. A recent study by Shechter, Skandari and Zalunardo (2014) found that referral for AV fistula creation within 12 months of estimated time to initiation of dialysis and referral at eGFR < 15-20 ml/min/1.73 m² (Stage 4) produced the best outcomes. The authors recommend guiding referral by individual rate of CKD progression and suggest referring elderly patients later to reduce the risk of AV fistula non-use.

“Implementation of a multifaceted intervention including a vascular access nurse and an algorithm to prioritize surgery significantly increases the proportion of patients starting dialysis therapy with an AV fistula (AVF) by improving the overall coordination of the surgical waiting list” (Polkinghorne, Seneviratne, & Kerr, 2009, p. 99).

Pre-operative venous mapping:

- Venous mapping in addition to physical examination of arm veins may improve AVF patency (Ferring, Claridge, Smith, & Wilmink, 2010). The advantages of venous mapping in guiding and assessing the feasibility of AV access placement and determining optimal location have been well established in several studies (Ferring, Henderson, Wilmink, & Smith, 2008; Gibson et al., 2001).
- Doppler ultrasound vein mapping supports optimal outcomes when the surgeon has done or reviewed the results of the mapping to anticipate the surgical approach, and has been associated with decreased primary failure, increased patency and decreased numbers of unnecessary surgical explorations (Silva et al., 1998; Wong, Ward, Taylor, & Selvakumar, 1996).

Robbin et al. (2000) and Allon et al. (2001) suggest that a high primary fistula failure rate persists despite pre-operative mapping and, therefore, evidence does not support routine use in all patients.

- ANNA (2013) suggests that vessel mapping is indicated when a vascular access failure or impending failure occurs to determine if the patient is a candidate for an AV fistula.

Clinical consideration: Routine venous mapping is reported to be associated with increased fistula creation. However, a high primary fistula failure rate persists despite pre-operative venous mapping. Selective use of venous mapping is suggested in...
patients with high risk for failure to mature, obesity or planned upper arm access. Optimal outcomes are achieved when the venous mapping is reviewed by the Vascular Surgeon to anticipate surgical approach (Allon et al., 2001; Robbin et al., 2000; Silva et al., 1998).

Pre-operative and post-operative hand and arm exercises:
- The NKF (2006) suggests strengthening the forearm by using isometric exercises to increase handgrip strength (squeezing a rubber ball) may increase blood flow, thereby enhancing vein maturation (Oder, Teodorescu, & Uribarri, 2003; Rus, Ponikvar, Kenda, & Buturovic-Ponikvar, 2003). Performing hand and arm exercises after AV access surgery may assist in development of the fistula (Leaf, MacRae, Grant, & Kraut, 2003; Lok & Oliver, 2001; Rus, Ponikvar, Kenda, & Buturovic-Ponikvar, 2005). Leaf et al. (2003) suggest that hand and arm exercises significantly increase forearm vessel size, thereby potentially increasing blood flow through the fistula.
- The NKF (2006) and BC Renal Agency (2013) recommend instructing patients to perform regular hand and arm exercises, with or without a lightly applied tourniquet, before and after surgery, if sufficient lead time allows.
- Follow unit practices and instructions from the Vascular Surgeon for use of tourniquet for performing hand and arm exercises.
- Note: Hand and arm exercise alone will not turn a poorly developed fistula into a functioning fistula. Patients should be informed that despite hand and arm exercises, the fistula may fail to mature enough to be usable for dialysis.

RECOMMENDATION 2: PATIENT EDUCATION
- The interdisciplinary team should ensure that patients and families have factual information related to all types of vascular access and respect the patient’s right to self-determination in choice of vascular access (ANNA, 2013).
- Patients and their families should be educated on the importance of vein preservation (See Recommendation 1: Vein Preservation) and how to care for their vascular access (VA).
- Patients should be shown how to check their AV access daily for the presence of a thrill (vibration or buzzing), the importance of rotating needle sites, and using proper compression technique for needle site hemostasis (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, n.d.; NKF, 2006; ORN, 2014). Patients should be instructed not to wear tight clothing or jewelry on the limb with the functioning AV access.
- Strategies to prolong access function include observing good personal hygiene habits, and recognizing and reporting early signs of infection.
- Hand hygiene is the most important way to prevent contact transmission. Health care providers should demonstrate proper hand washing techniques to patients and cleaning of the access arm prior to cannulation (NKF, 2006; O’Grady et al., 2011; Thomas-Hawkins, 1995).
- The Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) data reveal that nephrology nurses play an important role in advocating for AV access placement and encouraging and influencing patients on vascular access choice (Mapes, 2005).

Pre-operative AV access education should include:
- What is a fistula or graft?
- Why it is necessary?
- What are the advantages of having a fistula or graft over a central venous catheter (CVC)?
- How long will the fistula or graft last?
- The importance of vein preservation and requesting that hand veins are used for blood samples and intravenous.
- Vein preservation also includes avoiding blood pressure measurements, and constricting objects such as jewelry, tight clothing, or tightly wrapped dressings on the access arm.
- What does the surgery involve?
- How to know that the access is working? Describe the terms bruit and thrill and demonstrate an assessment.
- When will the fistula or graft be used?
- How are the needles inserted? Describe cannulation techniques (rope ladder, buttonhole (BH) and self-cannulation)
- What are the complications of the fistula or graft (failure to mature, steal, infection)?

Post-operative AV access education should include:
- How to take care of the fistula or graft after surgery.
- How to check that the fistula or graft is working. Demonstrate how to check the thrill (buzzing or vibration) and bruit (listening for whooshing sound). To assess the thrill, ask the patient to place the palm of their hand over the access and feel the vibration (thrum). To assess the bruit, ask the patient to raise their access limb to the opposite ear and listen for the sound (bruit) (NKF, 2006).
- How and when to perform hand and arm exercises. (Hand and arm exercises are not required for grafts.)
- The importance of vein preservation and requesting that hand veins are used for blood samples and intravenous.
- Vein preservation also includes avoiding blood pressure measurements, and constricting objects such as jewelry, tight clothing, or tightly wrapped dressings on the access arm (Jindal et al., 2006; NKF, 2006; ORN, 2014).
- Continuing with normal daily activities once the AV access has healed (as advised by the Vascular Surgeon and VAC).
- Who to call for advice or assistance?
- Strategies to prevent access loss or infection include observing good personal hygiene habits, cleaning access arm prior to needle placement, avoiding constriction of access (no jewelry or tight clothing on access arm), and the importance of rotating needle sites (Ball, 2005; BC Renal Agency, 2012; Thomas-Hawkins, 1995).
- The importance of promptly reporting:
  - change in thrill or bruit (weak or absent)
  - pain, fever, redness or swelling
  - bleeding.
RECOMMENDATION 3: ASSESSMENT OF THE ARTERIOVENOUS (AV) ACCESS

Assessment is key to evaluating all new and established fistulas and grafts, in order to determine patency and cannulation readiness. Staff education should include principles and hands-on cannulation training to assure optimal care of the patient’s access. Staff education programs should include satisfactory demonstration of knowledge and skills prior to the staff member being allowed to independently perform cannulation (ANNA, 2013). A nurse trained in clinical assessment of the AV access should carry out the assessment and cannulation procedure.

Assessment of the AV access includes three aspects: inspection, auscultation, and palpation. Prior to each cannulation, the AV access must be assessed and evaluated using these three aspects of nursing care (Ball, 2005; Beathard, 1998). Vachharajani (n.d., p. 5-6) recommends performing a one-minute access exam including look (inspection), listen (auscultation), feel (palpation), arm elevation (AV fistula only) at each dialysis treatment and augmentation test monthly and as needed. Clinical assessment, physical examination and prompt reporting of failure to mature and abnormal findings are necessary to maintaining access function and access longevity (Asif et al., 2007; Campos, Chula, Perreto, Riella, & Do Nascimento, 2008; Leon & Asif, 2008; Leon et al., 2008; McLafferty, Pryor, John, & Hodgson, 2007; Mishler, Schon, Hubert, & Nissenson, 2000; NKF, 2006; Paulson, Moist, & Lok, 2012; Schuman, Ronfeld, Barclay, & Heinzi, 2007; Thomas-Hawkins, 1995). Surveillance should include identifying patients who are at risk for VA complications, detecting early signs of problems, implementing nursing interventions aimed at prevention of complications, and providing staff and patient education. These are all strategies that nurses should employ to preserve the function and survival of vascular access (NKF, 2006; Paulson et al., 2012; Thomas-Hawkins, 1995).

Tools and resources that may be utilized when assessing and cannulating fistulas or grafts include:
- Clinical assessment
- Physical examination
- Stethoscope (to assess bruit)
- Operative report (date of AV access creation)
- Interventional radiology report
- Transonic access flow measurement
- Recirculation studies
- Ultrasound/portable device (to assess vein diameter, depth, course, valves, narrowing and presence of thrombus)
- Tourniquet (to stabilize and augment the outflow vein)
- Clinical Renal Educator
- Vascular Access Nurse/Coordinator
- Charge Nurse/Team Leader/expert cannulator
- Nephrologist/NP.

The assessment should be carried out as follows. The nurse should begin the assessment process through dialogue with the patient to determine:
- Patient’s knowledge of the fistula or graft and how it will be used (needle insertion)
- Patient’s knowledge of how to assess the thrill and bruit. Ask the patient to demonstrate the assessment and assist, as needed
- Patient’s report of changes in the thrill or bruit
- Patient’s experience with the surgical procedure and any concerns or post-operative symptoms (where applicable)
- Patient’s report of pain, weakness, tingling, swelling, and temperature or color changes in the access extremity
- Emphasize with the patient the importance of site rotation (to preserve access function and survival).

**Inspection**

- Expose the entire extremity (arm or leg) with the AV access
- When assessing for limb swelling always compare the access limb to the non-access limb
- Position the access limb parallel to the floor — this is critical to enable proper visualization of the access
- Observe the access limb for:
  - Signs of infection (warmth, erythema, discharge or swelling)
  - Presence of bruising, swelling, and collateral veins (visualize entire arm and upper chest)
  - For AV fistulas, an elevation test on the access limb can be used to detect outflow (venous) stenosis. In the absence of a stenosis, the entire fistula will generally collapse when the access limb is elevated. If a stenosis is present, the portion of the fistula distal to point of stenosis remains distended, while the proximal portion collapses (Beathard, 2003; Vachharanji, 2010)
  - Access-induced ischemia or steal syndrome (signs of cyanosis of the finger tips and delayed capillary refill of the nail beds, hand pallor and decreased range of motion)
  - Location of anastomosis and evidence of healing incision lines
  - Skin integrity (rash, blisters, scabs or eroded cannulation sites)
  - Appropriateness of vessel size (depth and diameter) for cannulation suitability
  - Location for previous cannulation sites (avoid thin, white, shiny aneurysmic areas).

**Clinical consideration**: Arm swelling could be a result of central vein stenosis. If generalized swelling of the arm and/or collateral veins on the upper torso is identified, the possibility of central venous stenosis needs to be ruled out. Consult the Nephrologist or NP. For arm or leg swelling, instruct patients to elevate the limb as much as possible until the swelling subsides and advise patients to avoid any tight clothing, jewelry or circumferential gauze wrapping on the access arm. For patients with an AV graft, post-operative arm swelling may take as long as three to six weeks to subside. An increase in swelling requires urgent evaluation (Asif et al., 2007; NKF, 2006).
Auscultation
• Using a stethoscope, press gently and listen for the quality and duration of the bruit (continuous, low-pitched whooshing sound) created by the turbulence at the anastomosis (Ball, 2005; Banerjee, 2009; BC Renal Agency, 2013; NKF, 2006). A normal bruit should have a systolic and diastolic component (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006). Begin at the AV anastomosis and continue along the length of the access noting any changes in pitch and amplitude of the bruit.
• A high-pitched, discontinuous bruit (present on systole only) whistling sound is abnormal and may indicate outflow stenosis at the venous end and inflow stenosis if present at the arterial end of the access (Banerjee, 2009; BC Renal Agency, 2013; NKF, 2006).
• A significant increase in pitch is noted on auscultation (bruit) — suggestive of a potential stenosis.
• Absent bruit usually indicates that the access has clotted or thrombosed (BC Renal Agency, 2013).
• NO BRUIT – NO NEEDLE.

Clinical consideration: If the bruit is absent, this likely indicates that the AV access is clotted or thrombosed, and therefore is not able to provide adequate dialysis. Assess the bruit by listening with a stethoscope or portable ultrasound and promptly report findings to the VAC, VA nurse, Nephrologist or NP.

Palpation for AV fistulas
• Feel the entire length of the access assessing skin temperature.
• Assess and compare temperatures in both the access and non-access limb.
• Assess the thrill by palpating the entire length of the AV fistula to determine access patency. The vein should be soft and easy to compress (NKF, 2006).
• A thrill is a buzzing or vibration felt as the result of turbulence of the blood flow created by the high pressure arterial system merging with the low pressure venous system (Ball, 2005; NFK, 2006).
• A strong thrill should be palpable at the arterial anastomosis diminishing distally, closer to the venous end (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Beathard, 1998; Beathard, 2005). A weak thrill may suggest a stenosis at or near the anastomosis.
• A pulsatile fistula is suggestive of obstruction or stenosis (BC Renal Agency, 2013; McGuckin, Barzel, & Miller, 2005). “The strength of the pulse is directly proportional to the arterial (inflow) pressure,” (Beathard, 2003, p. 6).
• Pulse augmentation is a useful test to assess the strength of the arterial inflow and is performed by complete or near occlusion of the outflow of the AV access several centimeters beyond the AV anastomosis and assessing the strength of the pulse (Asif et al., 2007). “The fistula is said to augment well, meaning it has a very strong pulse with obstruction and by inference, a good arterial inflow at the AV anastomosis. Conversely, it may be found to augment poorly, meaning a weak or absent pulse with obstruction and by inference, a poor arterial inflow,” (Beathard, 2003, p. 6).
• Use a two- or three-finger approach to roll your fingers across the AV fistula to determine width and depth of access.
• For forearm fistulas, apply a tourniquet at the level of the elbow tight enough to dilate the fistula. For upper arm fistulas apply a tourniquet just below the axilla tight enough to dilate the fistula (See Figure 4: Cannulation with tourniquet photo A). Always make sure you can palpate the thrill with the tourniquet in place (Ball, 2005; BC Renal Agency, 2013).
• For upper arm fistulas use the Cushion Cannulation Technique (See Figure 5: photos A and B) described by Mott and Prowant (2006), or the surgical position (Moore & Mott, 2009).
• Comprehensive information on assessment of vascular access can be found in the Atlas of Dialysis Vascular Access, Fistula First (Vachharanji, 2010), at http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.asdin.org/resource/resmgr/imported/atlas%20of%20dialysis%20access.pdf

Clinical consideration: Routine use of tourniquets for cannulating AV fistulas: The general opinion of the working group is that tourniquets should be routinely used for cannulating AV fistulas. This is also supported by Fistula First, 2015, Ball, 2005, and BC Renal Agency, 2013. The working group recognizes that many expert cannulators repeatedly achieve successful and skillful cannulation without using a tourniquet and stabilize the vein by placing the middle or index finger a couple of inches above the site selected for cannulation prior to needle placement. A tourniquet alone, without conducting a thorough assessment of the AV access and stabilizing the vein will not guarantee successful cannulation. Tourniquets help to engorge the vein so that the vein is easily visualized and limits movement of vein during cannulation (Banerjee, 2009; Ball, 2005; Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006).

Holding the needle below the wings allows visibility of flash-back (brisk blood return) during needle insertion. See Figure 4: Cannulation of AVF using a tourniquet.

Figure 4: Cannulation of AVF using a tourniquet. Photo provided compliments of a patient at Humber River Hospital, Toronto, ON. Used with permission.

The “Cushion Cannulation Technique” includes the nurse sitting on a stool and placing a firm cushion under the patient’s access arm. This positioning allows better visualization of the access, especially for upper arm accesses. The cannulator’s body mechanics are improved both by the seated position and by having the access at the same level as the cannulator’s hands and forearms. The arm can be easily extended to the surgical position, which will stretch and expose the entire usable length of the fistula, yet still...
be comfortable for the patient and cannulator (Moore & Mott, 2009). The arm should be fully extended to the side horizontally on the cushion at, or just below shoulder level, as far as possible up under the armpit (See Figure 5: The Cushion Cannulation Technique).

This position limits the patient’s ability to pull back during cannulation and provides additional stability for the cannulator’s hands and forearm, and access and tissue (Mott & Prowant, 2006).

**AV Graft**

- Assess the temperature of the skin around both the arterial and venous anastomosis and along the entire length of the graft and check for abnormalities.
- Assess comparative temperature between both access and non-access limbs.
- Listen to the bruit over the entire length of the graft.
- Use a two- or three-finger approach to roll your fingers across the AV graft to determine width and depth of the access.
- Palpate the entire length of the AV graft, noting location, graft integrity and depth.
- A strong pulse may indicate the presence of a stenosis (Ball, 2005; BC Renal Agency, 2013; NKF, 2006).
- Use of tourniquets is not recommended for AV grafts. Follow unit protocols and manufacturer recommendations.

**To determine direction of blood flow in a loop graft**

- Review the operative and/or ultrasound report (if available) for anatomical position and document arterial and venous aspects.
- Determine the direction of blood flow by partially occluding the mid-point of the graft for a few seconds while listening to the quality and duration of the bruit on either side of this mid-point. The arterial side can usually be determined by a stronger bruit than the venous side (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Brouwer & Peterson, 2002). Fistula First (2015) recommends occluding the graft with the tip of the finger and palpating on each side of the occlusion point for a pulse. The side without a pulse is the downstream (venous) side of the graft. The upstream (arterial) pulse will increase in intensity during the occlusion. This is known as augmentation.
- Carry out access flow measurements using the dilution method (Transonic®).

**Clinical consideration: Early cannulation (FlixeneTM) grafts and Hemodialysis Reliable Outflow grafts (HeRO®):** Recent clinical experience with early cannulation AV grafts (within 24–72 hours) has resulted in successful cannulation, while at the same time avoiding placement of CVC or limiting use of CVC. Expert-opinion suggests that thrill may not be present and to ensure that bruit is assessed and present prior to cannulation (Schild et al., 2011). The HeRO® graft provides an alternative long-term access for patients with limited vascular access options and venous obstruction. The polytetrafluoroethylene graft component is connected to an artery in the arm (The HeRO® graft has an arterial, but no venous anastomosis).

“The HeRO graft procedure is a true breakthrough, since it bypasses the central venous occlusion, giving these patients an option they didn’t have before,” says Dr. Wong, “and the graft is subsequently tunneled under the skin and then connected to a silastic outflow catheter placed transluminally, across and beyond the central venous obstruction.” The tip of the catheter is directed into the right atrium of the heart (Katzman et al., 2009; NKF, 2012; Wallace, Chaer & Dillavou, 2013).

**RECOMMENDATION 4: DETERMINATION OF CANNULATION SITES**

Needle placement is imperative to maintaining a healthy, well-functioning vascular access and ensuring dialysis adequacy. Therefore, prior to cannulation, it is important to visualize where the needle tip will end up and to determine appropriateness of the selected sites to prevent inadvertent placement of a needle tip in an area too close to the other needle, or in a narrow or tortuous portion of the AV access (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Brouwer, 2005; NKF, 2006). The use of portable ultrasound for access assessment and ultrasound-guided cannulations can optimize cannulation and ensure correct needle placement.

Cannulation should be avoided and the VAC, VA, nurse, clinical renal educator, NP, or Nephrologist (where appropriate) consulted when:

- Signs and symptoms of infection are present.
- Absence or poor quality of bruit and/or thrill is noted.
- A pulse is palpated instead of a thrill—suggestive of diminished blood flow or stenosis.
- Extreme edema or other abnormal findings are observed (e.g. rash, scab (s), steal or unexplained aneurysm), which, in clinical judgment, would render the cannulation inappropriate (BC Renal Agency, 2013).
**Recommendation 5: Cannulation Procedures—AV Fistula and AV Graft**

You are now an extension of the needle:

- Consult with the VAC, VA nurse, Vascular surgeon, Nephrologist or expert cannulator to determine if the access is ready to cannulate (BC Renal Agency, 2013).
- Fistula maturation is determined by suitability for cannulation, whereby the fistula develops adequate flow, wall thickness and vein diameter. The Rule of 6s includes: 6 mm diameter vein, less than 6 mm deep, 6 weeks from date of creation, and blood flow >600 ml/min (Barrone et al., 2007; BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006).
- Nephrology nurses are best suited to determine cannulation readiness or maturation based on expert clinical assessment skills (Banerjee, Eason & Wright, 2008). Cannulation readiness should be based on clinical assessment, rather than a time-bound or eight-week rule (Banerjee, 2009).
- Instruct patients to wash their access arm prior to coming to the dialysis chair.
- Practise careful hand washing and don clean gloves just prior to cleaning the access site (BC Renal Agency, 2013).
- When available, use a portable ultrasound machine to assess vein diameter, depth, course, valves, narrowing and presence of thrombus prior to cannulation.
- For optimal cannulation results, cannulation should be performed while the cannulator is in a sitting position (See the Cushion Technique, Figure 5). Sitting during cannulation promotes cannulator comfort allowing the wrist and forearm to be supported on a cushion and helps to keep the cannulator’s hand steady during cannulation.
- For fistula only: apply a tourniquet or blood pressure (BP) cuff (pumped up to 80–90 mmHg) midpoint of the upper arm (lower arm fistula) or just below the axilla (upper arm fistula), tight enough to dilate the veins but being careful not to occlude the flow (Ball, 2005; BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015). See Clinical consideration: Routine Use of Tourniquets for Cannulating AV Fistulas on page 14.
- Do not use tourniquet or BP cuff when cannulating grafts. Follow unit protocols and manufacturer’s recommendations for use of tourniquets on AV grafts.
- Identify any collateral veins and/or areas of concern such as decreased size of vessel, hard or bruised areas, or tortuosity or decreased thrill. These areas should be avoided and not selected for needle placement.
- Remember the areas of vein chosen to cannulate must be at least 1 inch in length to accommodate the length of the needle for optimal needle placement. Place the needle 1.5 inches from the anastamosis and 1.5 to 2 inches apart (Ball, 2005; Fistula First, 2015).
- For “wet” or “dry” cannulation, follow unit protocols and practices. Wet cannulation involves flushing the fistula needle with normal saline prior to insertion.
- Cannulate slowly to prevent infiltration of the vessel wall. Thread the needle down the centre of the access using approximately a 25-degree angle (fistula) for superficial accesses or 45-degree (graft) angle. Once flashback is seen, level the needle (flatten the angle) to the skin level and slowly advance the needle into the access (BC Renal Agency, 2013).
- Determine needle patency by assessing quality of flashback prior to initiating dialysis treatment. Flashback should be brisk. Flush needle with normal saline and repeat steps with second needle. If flashback is sluggish or absent, DO NOT FLUSH NEEDLE. Assess needle position with portable ultrasound and reposition the needle as needed. See section on placement of needles and troubleshooting needle placement in Table 1: Guidelines for cannulation of AV fistulas and AV grafts.
- Ensure your angle is reflective of the depth of the access. For deeper accesses, sharpen angle of needle insertion, based on clinical exam and use portable ultrasound to determine depth of access. The three-point technique involves pulling back on the skin to help stabilize and immobilize the vessel (Ball, 2006; BC Renal Agency, 2013; NKF, 2006). The “L” technique includes holding thumb and index finger in the shape of the letter “L”, the thumb is used to hold the skin taut over the fistula and the index finger is used to stabilize and engorge the fistula (Fistula First, 2015).
- BC Renal Agency (2013) recommends leaving the last 2 mm of metal part of the needle exposed to prevent the hub of the needle from touching the entrance sites.
- See Table 1: Guidelines for cannulation of AV fistulas and AV grafts and Flowchart 1: Complications of cannulation.

**Clinical consideration: Infection prevention.** Patients on dialysis have more Staph Aureus on their skin and in their nares than the general population, making it all the more important for them to wash their access arm prior to coming to the dialysis chair (Kaplowitz, Comstock, Landwehr, Dalton, & Mayhall, 1988; O’Grady et al., 2011). The Centre for Disease Control (CDC) (2001) recommends more stringent precautions for hemodialysis units because of the increased potential for contamination with blood and pathogenic microorganisms. Infection control practices for hemodialysis units restrict the use of common supplies, instruments, medications, and prohibit the use of a common medication cart. Other potential risk factors for vascular access infections include location of the access in the lower extremity, recent access surgery, trauma, hematoma, dermatitis, scratching over the access site, poor patient hygiene, and poor needle insertion technique (CDC, 2001).

**Clinical consideration: Needle placement (bevel position and direction of cannulation).** A recent study by Parisotto et al. (2014) found that retrograde cannulation of the arterial needle with the bevel down was associated with an increased risk of access failure (18%) and formation of hematomas and aneurysms, possibly owing to the related venous return of the blood (i.e. retrograde filling). The authors suggest that antegrade puncturing of the arterial needle with bevel up may be considered fistula-protective by the same reasoning—that is, tract closure through flow force. These findings are consistent with findings reported by Woodson and Shapiro (1974), who reported that retrograde puncturing may be associated with increased hematoma formation.
### Table 1: Guidelines for cannulation of AV fistulas and AV grafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleansing of the cannulation sites</td>
<td>✓ Select cannulation sites</td>
<td>Ball, 2005; Boelaert et al., 1996; Jindal et al., 2006; Kaplowitz et al., 1988; NKF, 2006; O’Grady et al., 2011; RNAO, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Cleanse the skin using antibacterial soap</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Cleanse the skin using 2% Chlorhexidine gluconate solution with alcohol (drying time 30 seconds), Povidine-iodine (drying time 2–3 min), or approved facility solution, using friction and a circular motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Allow cleansing solution to dry thoroughly prior to needle insertion—do not blot area dry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement of needles</td>
<td>✓ 4-5 cm (1.5-2 inches) apart, hub to hub, if needles in the same direction on the same limb, as fistula length allows</td>
<td>Ball, 2005; Brouwer, 1995; Fistula First, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ 2.5 cm (1 inch) apart, hub to hub, if needles in opposite direction</td>
<td>Northwest Renal Network, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ 1.5-2 cm from the anastomosis</td>
<td>BC Renal Agency, 2013, Recommendation 8: Use of the BH Cannulation Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Insertion site or needle tip once inserted, 4 cm (1.5 inches) away from the anastomosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Determine needle patency by assessing quality of flashback prior to initiating dialysis treatment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Cannulation techniques include rotation of cannulation sites using a rope ladder technique or constant site cannulation using BH cannulation method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection of needle gauge and type (metal versus angiocaths)</td>
<td>To be determined based on the following:</td>
<td>BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015; Northwest Renal Network, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Fistula maturation during clinical assessment—recommend 17 gauge needle for first attempts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Use 17 gauge for approximately one week with two needle cannulation without complication or infiltration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Increase needle gauge as successful cannulations are achieved, aiming for long-term use of 15 gauge needles (where possible)</td>
<td>BC Renal Agency, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ For cannulation of AV grafts, suggest using 2–16 gauge needles for initial cannulation and advancing to 15 gauge for subsequent cannulations</td>
<td>Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Consideration should be given to blood pump speed and needle gauge</td>
<td>BC Renal Agency, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Consider the 2:1 rule— arterial and venous pressure should not exceed 50% of the pump speed e.g., 400 ml/min blood pump speed, arterial and venous pressure should be -200/200 mm/hg respectively</td>
<td>BC Renal Agency, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Arterial and venous pressure should not exceed -250 or 250 mm/hg to avoid damage to the access</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal protective devices and aseptic techniques (Standard Precautions)</td>
<td>✓ Strict hand washing</td>
<td>BC Renal Agency, 2013; CDC, 2001; O’Grady et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Eye protection (face shield or goggles)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Mask</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Gloves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Use according to unit standards to ensure staff protection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction of needle placement</td>
<td>✓ Venous needle must be placed towards the direction of blood flow (antegrade), for example facing venous outflow of AV access</td>
<td>Brouwer, 1995; Fistula First, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Arterial needle may be placed antegrade or retrograde (against the blood flow), for example facing arterial anastomosis. In a loop AV graft, if the needles both face upward, the arterial needle would then be retrograde. Increased access failure has been described with retrograde direction of the arterial needle and bevel down cannulation, and possibly improved access survival with antegrade direction of the arterial needle and bevel up cannulation with fistulas and grafts</td>
<td>Parisotto et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on page 18...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Bevel position/ flipping of needle           | ✓ This remains a controversial issue. Increased access failure has been described with retrograde direction of the arterial needle and bevel down cannulation, and possibly improved access survival with antegrade direction of the arterial needle and bevel up cannulation  
   ✓ Avoid flipping the needle as this can cause coring of the vessel  
   ✓ Flipping needles should be discouraged. If flipping is necessary due to increased needle pressures, this must be done carefully to avoid damage to access; consider using fistula needles with a back-eye to prevent the need for flipping needles  
   ✓ When available use portable ultrasound to determine optimal cannulation sites and assess needle position, prior to re-positioning the fistula needle | BC Renal Agency, 2013; Brouwer, 2005; Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006; Northwest Renal Network, 2006; & Parisotto et al., 2014 |
| Angle of insertion                           | ✓ Fistula — 20-35 degree angle (depending on vein depth)  
   ✓ Graft — 45 degree angle  
   ✓ Angle of insertion is based on clinical assessment and use of portable ultrasound | BC Renal Agency, 2013; Brouwer, 2003; Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006                                                                              |
| Application of local anesthetic             | ✓ Reserve use in patients who are concerned/experiencing discomfort and pain/fear associated with needle insertion  
   ✓ Obtain order from Nephrologist or NP and follow unit protocols  
   ✓ Minimum amount of Lidoica 1-2 % injection should be used (0.2 ml)  
   ✓ Lidocaine injection is painful, and there is added risk of accidental intravenous infusion  
   ✓ Several different anesthetics are available for needle insertions (intradermal lidocaine, Ethyl Chloride spray and topical anesthetic creams such as Lidocaine 2.5% prilocaine 2.5% (EMLA®). Ethyl Chloride is not sterile and therefore must be applied prior to the antimicrobial prep. Patients should be questioned about possible allergies prior to considering anesthetic for needle insertion  
   ✓ If topical cream is used, always remove cream and cleanse access arm prior to cannulation | BC Renal Agency, 2013; Brouwer, 1995; Fistula First, 2015  
   Ball, 2005  
   Ball, 2005; BC Renal Agency, 2013  
   Ball, 2005; Fistula First, 2015 |
| Number of attempts                          | ✓ When available, assessment of needle position and vein depth/diameter with portable ultrasound is recommended  
   ✓ If cannulation is unsuccessful or infiltration occurs, seek assistance from expert cannulator, clinical educator, VAC, or VA nurse  
   ✓ If unable to aspirate blood from needle, DO NOT INSTILL SALINE or BLOOD  
   ✓ General rule of thumb: If in doubt that needle has infiltrated, remove the needle to minimize vessel damage. Apply ice to site. If patient has received heparin, the decision to leave the needle in place may be appropriate. Follow unit protocols and practices  
   ✓ After an additional attempt by an expert cannulator, consider appropriateness of continuing to attempt cannulation or perform single needle dialysis (when available)  
   ✓ If infiltration occurs, consider resting the access until infiltration and bruising has resolved. Always consult the Nephrologist or NP and follow unit protocols for adjustment of systemic heparinization during dialysis treatment  
   ✓ Following infiltration, immediately apply ice which can help decrease the pain and size of the infiltration and may decrease bleeding time. Follow unit protocols and procedures  
   ✓ For number of attempts, follow unit protocols. After 1 failed attempt, consult expert cannulator, clinical educator, VAC, or VA nurse  
   ✓ (See Flowchart 1: Complications of Cannulation) | Northwest Renal Network, 2006  
   Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006 |

continued on page 19...
### Standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Securing of needles** | ✓ Needles should be secured at the same angle of advancement to avoid change in needle position and minimize risk of infiltration  
✓ Follow unit policies for taping and securement of needles  
✓ Needles should be well secured during treatment to avoid accidental malposition or dislodgment of needles  
✓ Access limb and connections should be visible at all times and should not be covered with blankets. Inspect needles at each patient check and follow unit policies | BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015 |
| **Needle Removal and Hemostasis** | ✓ Needles should be removed at the same angle of insertion  
✓ Do not apply pressure while the needle is in the vein  
✓ Once the needle is completely removed, use a 2-digit technique (one finger at the skin level and one at the vein level) for maximum hemostasis (see Figure 6: Two-digit technique)  
✓ Dispose of the needle as per unit protocols in a sharps receptacle and follow Occupational Health standards  
✓ Hold each site for a minimum of 10 min without releasing pressure. While applying pressure, ensure a thrill can be felt in the access  
✓ If thrill cannot be felt, slowly ease up on digital pressure and assess thrill | Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006; Ball, 2005; BC Renal Agency, 2013. Fistula First, 2015; See Figure 7: 2-digit technique and Recommendation 10: Needle Removal and Hemostasis |
| **Troubleshooting Needle Placement and increased venous and/ or arterial pressures. (Follow steps in the order listed)** | ✓ Decrease blood pump speed  
✓ Measure blood pressure and review previous clinical records to determine baseline blood pressure, venous and arterial pressures and achieved blood flow (Qb)  
✓ Assess thrill and bruit and observe for infiltration (swelling)  
✓ Carefully reposition access limb  
✓ Always use portable ultrasound to check position of needle prior to re-positioning or adjusting needle (when available)  
✓ Carefully adjust tape or place a small gauze under the needle wings (as needed), while closely monitoring venous and arterial pressures  
✓ If successful, secure needle in position with tape while monitoring venous and arterial pressures. Maximize blood pump as tolerated  
✓ If unsuccessful, recirculate patient’s blood and recheck needle position with portable ultrasound  
✓ Apply tourniquet, reassess needle patency using a 10 ml syringe of 0.9% normal saline, carefully reposition the needle as needed and confirm needle position with portable ultrasound (when available)  
✓ If repositioning is unsuccessful, promptly remove fistula needle  
✓ Prior to re-cannulation, seek assistance from an individual deemed to have expert cannulation skills, clinical educator, VAC, or VA nurse  
✓ Repeat clinical assessment of AV access (thrill, bruit and portable ultrasound) prior to repeating cannulation.  
✓ Avoid repeated cannulation and follow unit protocols and practices | BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015; Persistent intradialytic hypotension can increase risk of vascular access thrombosis (Chang et al., 2011)  
Flowchart 1: Complications of cannulation

**Infiltration**
- Remove needle immediately and apply pressure until hemostasis is achieved
- Allow time for swelling to subside before re-cannulating

**Difficult cannulation and/or unable to obtain prescribed flow for dialysis treatment**
- Repeat assessment (thrill/bruit/ultrasound) and seek assistance from expert cannulator, clinical educator, VAC, or VA nurse
- Re-cannulate as needed

- **Yes**
  - Able to recannulate
  - Resume dialysis treatment with close monitoring of venous and arterial pressure, pain or swelling
  - Complete dialysis treatment as ordered

- **No**
  - Consult Nephrologist or NP
  - IF able to hold dialysis treatment, allow swelling/hematoma to subside and reassess cannulation in 24-48 hrs as ordered by the Nephrologist or NP
  - IF unable to hold dialysis treatment, consider single needle dialysis (where available). Advocate for avoiding placement of a dialysis catheter. Placement of CVC to be determined by the Nephrologist or NP

*Instruct patient to apply ice (20 min on and 20 min off) as tolerated for the initial 24 hours followed by warm compresses for 24 hours*
The skill of cannulation:

Cannulation is a learned skill that generally improves with practice and years of experience (Wilson, Harwood, Oudshoorn, & Thompson, 2010), and is critical to the viability of the AV access (Moore & Mott, 2009). Unsuccessful cannulation can result in an inability to provide HD treatment and potentially necessitate the need for placement of a CVC (Wilson et al., 2010). Cannulation complications include hematomas, aneurysmal formations, infection, infiltrations, and mis-cannulation requiring more than one arterial or venous needle, central venous catheter placement and prolongation of catheter dependency, numerous procedures, and access loss (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Lee, Barker, & Allon, 2006; van Loon, Kessel, van der Sande, & Tordoir, 2009). Pifer et al. (2002) reported an 11% decrease in AVF and AVG failure with each 20% increase in the percentage of experienced hemodialysis staff. Experience was defined as a nurse with more than three years of experience.

Nephrology nurses have the primary responsibility to assure the highest quality cannulation to preserve vascular access integrity and prevent access complications. This responsibility includes incorporating best practices for AV access cannulation by promotion of expert cannulators and formal cannulation protocols (ANNA, 2013). Bay, Van Cleef, and Owens (1998) found that nurses ranked difficult cannulation as their main concern associated with the dialysis treatment. It takes experience and skill development for HD nurses to successfully cannulate AVFs (Robbin et al., 2002). Tordoir et al. (2007) report that any staff involved in handling or cannulating AV accesses should be adequately trained and be in a continuous training scheme for access management (Guideline 4.2). Pile (2004) suggests the need for education, protocols and procedures, and mentoring of staff that care for AV access as being critical to successful patient outcomes.

Clinical consideration: The literature on treatment of infiltrations is limited. Fistula First recommends applying pressure to the site for 10–12 minutes until bleeding has stopped, followed by ice or a cold compress on site for 20 minutes on and off for the initial 24 hours to reduce swelling and pain, and a warm compress for 24 hours thereafter.

Clinical consideration: The working group recognizes that cannulation experience and expertise varies from centre to centre and that expertise in the skill of cannulation is not always related to years of dialysis experience. Cannulation expertise and improvement of cannulation skill requires opportunity and a commitment by the nurse to advance and improve his or her skills; conducting a thorough assessment using a portable ultrasound to guide cannulation (when available); identifying learning needs and seeking assistance from staff responsible for skills training; and meeting established competencies as outlined by the centre. It is the opinion of the working group that cannulation competency is best determined by the clinical educator, vascular access coordinator or vascular access nurse, and that incorporating these recommendations and developing local strategies to improve cannulation skills for nurses will lead to more successful cannulations and fewer missed cannulations and, ultimately, improve patients’ and nurses’ experiences.

**Table 2: Rating levels for cannulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Types of Accesses (AVF and AVG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Novice (less than 2 years)</td>
<td>Easy, complication-free accesses. Does not cannulate new accesses or initiate BH tracks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled (2 years +)</td>
<td>Easy or moderately complicated accesses. New accesses deemed easy. Does not initiate BH tracks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert (3 years +)</td>
<td>Easy, moderately complicated or complicated accesses. All new accesses and observes cannulation skills of colleagues and offers feedback/troubleshoots. Forms/creates/and initiates BH tracks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rating Levels (Northwest Renal Network, n.d.)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Description</th>
<th>Rating Level for Cannulating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New employee with no previous cannulation experience</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New employee with previous experience, or current employee advancing their rating</td>
<td>2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most experienced cannulator</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendation 6: Cannulation of a New AV Fistula with No Existing Central Venous Catheter**

Cannulation should be approached according to Recommendation 3, 4 and 5 and as follows:

- Schedule the first dialysis treatment during a non-rush/low patient-turnover time to allow for a relaxed atmosphere (BC Renal Agency, 2013; NKF, 2006)
- For AV fistulae, cannulation readiness or fistula maturation is best determined by nephrology nurse’s expert assessment. Timing for initial cannulation should be based on clinical assessment, rather than a time-bound, eight-week rule (Banerjee et al., 2008; Banerjee, 2009).
- For AV grafts, cannulation should not be performed during the first two weeks after surgery. Discuss timing for initial cannulation with the Vascular Surgeon and follow unit protocols and manufacturer’s recommendations regarding needle gauge.
**Flowchart 2: Cannulation of New AV Fistula – No Central Venous Catheter**

Insert 1 or 2 17 gauge needles for initial cannulations, based on vessel diameter and clinical assessment. For AV grafts, initiate with 2 16 gauge needles (as per unit policy).

- For complications—Refer to Figure 1: Complications of cannulation, and Troubleshooting section Table 1

- Maximum blood flow (Qb) of 200-250mL with close monitoring of arterial and venous pressures. **2:1 general rule** (venous and arterial pressures should be roughly half the blood pump rate ie: (Qb 250 mLs with VP and AP 125 and -125mmHg) respectively

- Gradually increase needle gauge and blood flow (Qb) as tolerated with close monitoring of arterial and venous pressures

- Increase needle gauge and continue for at least three treatments until maximum desired needle gauge is achieved. Increase blood pump (Qb) as permitted by arterial and venous pressures to reach desired blood flow rate. Follow unit protocols and orders from Nephrologist/NP

- For AV fistulae, initial cannulation for the first two weeks should be performed by a nurse with recognized expertise in cannulation to minimize risk of infiltration and trauma to the fistula, thereby reducing the likelihood of repeated cannulation and placement of a central venous catheter (Ball, 2005; BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006; Thomas-Hawkins, 1995). (See Flowchart 2: Cannulation of New Fistula - No Central Venous Catheter).

- For AV grafts, initial cannulation for the first two weeks should be performed by a nurse with recognized expertise in cannulation of AV grafts to minimize the risk of infiltration, trauma and back-wall punctures of the graft.

- For AV fistulae, start Qb at 50 ml/min and slowly increase blood flow by 50 ml/min while closely monitoring the venous and arterial pressures. Qb should be set at a maximum of 200-250 ml/min. Follow unit protocol and practices and orders from the Nurse Practitioner or Nephrologist. For subsequent cannulation, increase Qb slowly over the next two weeks, not exceeding Qb of 300 ml/min (BC Renal Agency, 2013; expert-informed opinion).

- For all new and challenging AV accesses, cannulation should be performed by nurses with advanced level of expertise in successful cannulation (Ball, 2005; BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015; Hakim & Himmelfarb, 2009; NKF, 2006). Selection of skilled cannulators can reduce the incidence of trauma, improve cannulation success, and may help to reduce early fistula failures (Hakim & Himmelfarb, 2009; Pifer et al., 2002).

- Positioning the patient’s access arm prior to cannulation will enhance their comfort and minimize malposition of needles that can occur with arm movement. For upper arm accesses, extend the access arm horizontally on a pillow to allow better visualization of the entire access, thereby optimizing needle placement and limiting patient’s ability to pull arm back during needle insertion using site rotation or BH cannulation technique (Fistula First, 2015; Mott & Prowant, 2006). See Figure 5: The Cushion Cannulation Technique.

- Instruct patient not to move their access arm during cannulation and while needles are in place. Monitor the
patient closely to ensure that the patient is comfortable at all times and avoid any sudden movement or adjustments of the access arm.

- If available, set up for single needle dialysis for the first two weeks, especially for AV fistulas (Wilson, Harwood & Thompson, 2009).
- If cannulation with two needles is unsuccessful, perform single needle dialysis (Wilson et al., 2009).
- Always consult the VAC, VA nurse, clinical educator, NP or Nephrologist and follow unit practices and protocols.

**RECOMMENDATION 7: CANNULATION OF A NEW AV FISTULA WITH AN EXISTING CENTRAL VENOUS CATHETER**

Although the preferred vascular access for hemodialysis is an AV access (AVF or AVG), patients who require urgent or acute hemodialysis therapy prior to the creation of an AV access maturation will require placement of a central venous catheter (CVC). For risks of long-term CVC use, see Chapter 2: Recommendations for central venous catheter management in hemodialysis patients—Recommendation 1: Long-term use of CVC.

For patients with dual accesses (CVC and AVF or CVC and AVG), see Flowchart 3: Cannulation of a new AV fistula with an existing CVC, which outlines an approach to cannulation with the goal to minimize trauma to the AV access, achieve successful cannulation, positive patient experience, and safe and timely removal of the CVC. According to DOPPS data, delayed cannulation may inadvertently act as a barrier to AVF use and promote CVC use and exposure to catheter-related risks (Mendelssohn et al., 2006).

All steps in this procedure should be completed over no less than a two-week timeframe (expert-informed opinion).

**Step 1**

The first time the AV fistula is cannulated, the decision to use one or two needles should be based on the vessel size and clinical assessment of the AV access (expert-informed opinion). If one needle is used, it is recommended that the first cannulation is used for arterial supply (BC Renal Agency, 2013). This will allow for:

- **✓** Assessment of maturity of the AV access and sufficient arterial inflow to the AV access
- **✓** Decreased risk of infiltration (and therefore a more positive experience for the patient)
- **✓** Set initial Qb at 200-250 ml/min with close monitoring of the venous and arterial pressures. Maximum venous and arterial pressure limits are 250 mm Hg and -250 mm Hg respectively (BC Renal Agency, 2013; NKF, 2006).
- **✓** If infiltration occurs or cannulation is unsuccessful, hold cannulation until swelling or hematoma resolves and then reassess cannulation at next scheduled dialysis treatment.

Follow unit protocols and consult the Nephrologist or NP (See Flowchart 3: Cannulation of a new fistula with an existing CVC) and follow Recommendation 3: Assessment of the AV access.

**Step 2**

Monitor dynamic venous pressures with Qb of 200 ml/min during the first two to five minutes of each hemodialysis treatment (Jindal et al., 2006). Arterial and venous pressure limits should be roughly half of blood pump speed 2:1 rule (100-125 mm/hg) (evidence-informed opinion).

**Step 3**

The techniques for cannulating AV fistulas include rope ladder (site rotation and optimizing the entire length of the fistula) or BH. For BH cannulation technique, see Recommendation 8: Use of the BH cannulation method, and follow unit protocols and practices. BH cannulation technique is not recommended for cannulating AVG. Always follow unit protocols and manufacturer’s recommendations.

**Step 4**

The AV fistula should be cannulated successfully with two needles for six consecutive treatments (with no infiltrations) prior to removing the CVC (Fistula First, 2015; NKF, 2006; National Renal Network, 2006). For removal of CVC for patients with AVG, follow unit protocols and consult with the VAC, VA, Nephrologist or NP.

NKF-KDOQI guidelines (2006) suggest obtaining baseline access flow measurements and incorporating Transonic® flow measurements as part of your program’s AV access surveillance program.

**Step 5**

If cannulation is unsuccessful or infiltration occurs, cannulation should be held to avoid trauma or damage to the AV access. If infiltration occurs or bruising or swelling is noted, follow unit protocols regarding systemic heparinization during dialysis treatment and consult the Nephrologist or NP. Cannulation of the AV access should be assessed with each dialysis treatment based on clinical assessment of the AV access (See Recommendation 3, 4, 5 and Flowchart 3: Cannulation of a new fistula or AVG graft – existing CVC).

**RECOMMENDATION 8: USE OF THE BUTTONHOLE (BH) CANNULATION METHOD FOR AV FISTULAS**

Buttonhole cannulation (BH) technique involves insertion of arterial and venous needles by a single cannulator in the same site, at the same depth and angle for each HD treatment, thereby creating a tunnel track (Ball, 2005; Fistula First, 2015; MacRae, Ahmed, Atkar, & Hemmelgarn, 2012; Twardowski & Kubar, 1979; Twardowski, 2011; Zimmerman & Lok, 2012). BH cannulation technique may prolong the use of an AV fistula, salvage a fistula not deemed useable due to short length (<2 inches or 5 cm) or severe tortuosity (Ball, 2006; Besarab & Brouwer, 2004). Ease of needle insertion, fewer infiltrations, reduced aneurysmal size, and reduced pain have been suggested as benefits of BH cannulation technique (Marticorena et al., 2006; Toma et al., 2003; Twardowski & Kubar, 1979; Verhallen, Kooistra, & van Jaarsveld, 2007; Twardowski, 2011). Zimmerman & Lok, (2012) reported no reduction of pain with needle insertion, however, Chow, Rayment, San Miguel, & Gilbert, (2011) and van Loon, Goovaerts, Kessels, van der Sande, & Tordoirt, (2010), report that patients experienced more pain.
Flowchart 3: Cannulation of New AV Fistula — Existing Central Venous Catheter

**Step 1:** Insert 1 or 2 needles based on vessel diameter and clinical assessment
- **If 1 needle inserted,** use for arterial supply with maximum blood flow rate (Qb) of 200-250 mL/min. Monitor arterial pressure closely. If acceptable arterial pressure, use as venous with next dialysis and advance to 2 needles based on assessment
- **If 2 needles inserted,** maximum blood flow rate (Qb) of 200-250 mL/min with close monitoring of arterial and venous pressures

**Step 2:**
- General rule 2: 1 Needle pressure should be roughly half the pump speed ie: (Qb of 250 mmHg, VP and AP 125 and -125mmHg respectively)

**Step 3:**
- Recommended cannulation techniques include rope ladder or buttonhole. Avoid area cannulation

**Step 4:**
- Cannulate successfully with two needles for 6 consecutive treatments (without infiltrations) before removing the CVC. Increase blood flow rate (Qb) gradually with close monitoring of arterial and venous pressures until prescribed blood flow rate (Qb) is reached

**Step 5:**
- If cannulation is unsuccessful or infiltration occurs

*Clinical consideration: BH cannulation is not recommended for use with AV grafts. Avoid multiple cannulators or cannulators who are inexperienced with BH cannulation technique. Follow unit protocols and manufacturer recommendations.*

BH cannulation technique is associated with an increased risk of infection (Chow et al., 2011; MacRae et al., 2012; Nesrallah, Cuerden, Wong, & Pierratos, 2010; O’Brien et al., 2012; Zimmerman & Lok, 2012). Meticulous cleaning techniques and scab removal is imperative. Programs should develop strict inclusion and exclusion patient selection criteria, incorporate selective use of BH cannulation technique (Zimmerman & Lok, 2012), and give careful consideration when implementing BH cannulation techniques for in-centre hemodialysis patients (Marticorena et al., 2006) to include hemodialysis nurses who are specially trained in BH technique (MacRae et al., 2012), and routine prophylaxis use of topical mupirocin at the BH sites after needle removal is recommended (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015; Marticorena et al., 2006; Nesrallah et al., 2010). O’Brien et al. (2012) recommend routine audits and tracking of infection rates. A recent study by Ludlow (2010) reported that although BH cannulation did provide significant improvements (patients reported decreased pain with venous and arterial needle placement), there was an increase in the infection rate and additional cost...
include: [demonstrate ability (ANNA, 2013; Fistula First, 2015)].

If the patient has one or more exclusion criteria, the use
\[ \text{AV grafts (follow manufacturer's recommendations and} \]
\[ \text{other prosthetic material which could cause serious} \]
\[ \text{problems if infected (for example permanent pacemaker)} \]
\[ \text{immune suppression such as lupus, patients on predni-} \]
\[ \text{sone or failed transplants} \]
\[ \text{AV grafts (follow manufacturer’s recommendations and} \]
\[ \text{unit protocols) \]
\[ \text{If the patient has one or more exclusion criteria, the use} \]
\[ \text{of BH cannulation technique should be determined in} \]
\[ \text{consultation with the Nephrologist, with risks discussed} \]
\[ \text{with the patient, and outcomes documented in patient’s} \]
\[ \text{chart.} \]

Programs are encouraged to offer self-cannulation
opportunities to patients who are interested and who
demonstrate ability (ANNA, 2013; Fistula First, 2015).

Criteria for discontinuing BH cannulation technique
include:

- Skin irritation seen at BH sites
- Patient non-adherence with established care of BH sites
- Development of any of the exclusion criteria.

A tunnel or track is a pathway that is created by the nee-
dle between the skin surface and the AVF lumen. The track
collapses once the needle is removed at the end of the HD
treatment and a scab forms on the skin surface.

**Step 1: Guidelines for establishing tunnel track:**

- Assign one primary cannulator for up to eight treatments
  or until tunnel track is established. If one cannulator is
  not possible, a maximum of two cannulators is recom-
  mended. BH cannulation technique requires the primary
  cannulator to insert the needle at the same angle, site
  and depth of penetration with every cannulation in order
  to properly form the tunnel track and reduce damage to
  the track.

- Always use a tourniquet for every cannulation even after
  the BH tunnel tracks are established.

- Approximately six to 10 cannulations with a sharp needle
  are necessary in order to establish a tunnel track (Fistula
  First, 2015, NKF, 2006). For diabetic patients or patients
  with poor wound healing, it may take 12–14 cannulations
  to establish a tunnel track (Ball, 2010).

- Primary cannulator(s) should continue until patient suc-
  cessfully transitions to dull needles.

- Once a tunnel track is established, never use a sharp nee-
  dle. Patience, persistence and troubleshooting may be all
  that is required when difficulty is encountered. If the BH
  cannulation is not successful, then insertion of a sharp
  needle at least 20 mm away from the BH will facilitate
  access for the treatment (Ball, 2010).

- Create BH sites with ultrasound guidance (if available) to
  ensure entry into center of vessel with each cannulation.

- For new AV fistulae, initiate cannulation with 17 gauge
  sharp needles for two treatments, then advance to 16
gauge sharp needles for two treatments, then 15 gauge
  sharp needles.

- For more established fistulae, use the same needle gauge
  that was used with previous cannulations.

- Use the same needle gauge when transitioning from
  sharp to dull needles (Fistula First, 2015).

**Clinical consideration:** *The working group suggests that if
unable to insert a dull needle into an established tunnel track
a sharp needle may be inserted, and should be used only when
necessary.* Marticorena et al. (2006) describe a modified method
for BH track creation that may be implemented in a busy hemo-
dialysis unit.

**Step 2: Creating and maintaining the BH sites:**

- Have the patient wash both hands and the access arm with
  antibacterial soap upon arrival to the dialysis unit. This can-
  not be emphasized enough for all patients and, in particu-
  lar, patients who are using the BH cannulation technique
  (Marticorena et al., 2006; Nesrallah et al, 2010).

- Strict adherence to contact times with the antiseptic
  agent aids to prevent infections (Fistula First, 2015;
  O’Grady et al., 2011).
• Cleanse the BH sites with Chlorhexidine 2% with 70% alcohol swab using a circular motion for 10 seconds, leave alcohol swab on BH site for additional 20 seconds with a total contact time of 30 seconds (St. Joseph’s Hospital, 2007). BC Renal Agency (2013) suggests never over-soaking the scab, as this may cause the scab to become mushy creating a challenge for removal.
• Remove scabs according to unit protocol being careful to maintain the integrity of the BH site.
• Never use the fistula needle or a sharp needle to remove scabs (Ball, 2010; BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015).
• Cleanse the BH sites again thoroughly with Chlorhexidine 2% with alcohol 70% (O’Grady et al., 2011) in a circular motion, as in surgical preparation, allowing for drying time. Ensure the entire scab is removed prior to cannulation.
• Each site must be treated separately for disinfection and scab removal.
• **TIP** – If scab removal is difficult or scab is deep, suggest stretching the skin in both directions to facilitate scab removal, instruct patient to tape alcohol swabs over BH sites or soak two 2x2 with normal saline or alcohol-based gel (Ball, 2010; Fistula First, 2015).
• Once the scab is completely removed, a second skin preparation is vitally important in order to kill any bacteria present following scab disturbance (Flynn & Linton, 2011).
• Use blunt needles once the tunnel track is established to access the fistula. Avoid using sharp needles once the tunnel track has been established, as sharp needles may damage the tunnel track by continued cutting of the BH tunnel track and create a new entry site to the vessel. Long-term use of sharp needles will cut adjacent tissues, enlarge the hole and result in bleeding along the needle path (Ball, 2010, Fistula First, 2015).
• Use of antibiotic ointment to BH sites after each dialysis is strongly recommended and this practice should be routinely incorporated into unit BH cannulation procedure (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Marticorena et al., 2006; Nesrallah et al., 2010).

Clinical consideration: Several authors have reported inflammation and infection in their BH patient population and recommend stringent cleansing of the BH sites both before cannulation and after needle removal (Ball, 2006; Marticorena et al., 2006; Twardowski & Kubara, 1979: Van Waeleghem, Elseviers, De Vos, 2004). Twardowski and Kubara, (1979) recommend the use of a dressing after dialysis for 12 hours, and Marticorena et al. (2006) suggest the use of antibiotic ointment at the BH sites after dialysis for a period of six hours as prophylaxis against infection. A study by Nesrallah et al. (2010) suggests the use of a topical Mupirocin prophylaxis routinely after needle removal for patients using BH cannulation technique. Patients should be assessed for other potential causes of BH site infection, such as MRSA nasal carriage, cleansing technique, skin colonization, and loss of skin integrity.

Contact dermatitis, also called eczema, is defined as an inflammation of the skin resulting from exposure to a hazardous agent (CDC, 2012). Contact dermatitis is a common occurrence in BH sites due to the antiseptic agent and prolonged contact with the skin in the same area. This can lead to serious infection and skin breakdown if not monitored regularly and addressed early.

Common symptoms include:
• Dryness, flaking or scaly skin that is prone to breakdown and may develop cracks
• Erythema
• Pain or swelling
• Scaling
• Itching
• Visible skin breakdown or redness
• Formation of blisters or wheals (itchy, red circles with a white centre).

_Notes: Infection and contact dermatitis are very common occurrences in BH sites and should be addressed to prevent infection. Use of appropriate cleansing, disinfection, and antibiotic ointment should be incorporated into unit protocols.

**RECOMMENDATION 9: TROUBLESHOOTING FOR BUTTONHOLE (BH) CANNULATION**

Follow Troubleshooting Needle Placement in Table 1: Guidelines for cannulation of AV fistulas and AV grafts and steps in order listed below.

1. Re-positioning access arm and re-applying tourniquet may change the position of the tunnel track.
2. Pull the skin behind the needle to try to straighten the tunnel track.
3. Use a portable ultrasound to assess needle position.
4. DO NOT manipulate the needle in and out of the tunnel track. This may create a false tunnel track.
5. If unsuccessful, remove needle, wait 20 seconds.
6. Re-apply tourniquet and using a new dull needle, recannulate the BH site.
7. Cannulate slowly following the tunnel track. Place hands below the wings and use a gentle turning motion, side to side, NOT in and out of the tunnel track.
8. If unsuccessful, remove needle and seek assistance from the primary cannulator or nurse with experience in BH cannulation.
9. Choose a new site away from the previous BH site. Cannulate slowly using a sharp needle.
10. If the patient does not have any other sites and the creation of a new BH site is not available, seek assistance from an experienced BH cannulator.

**RECOMMENDATION 10: NEEDLE REMOVAL AND HEMOSTASIS**

Needle removal technique is important to protect the AV access from damage and to promote hemostasis.
• Needle removal should be performed at the same angle as the needle insertion.
**Compression devices:**

If digital pressure to the needle site(s) is not possible, compression devices may be used. Always adhere to unit protocols and practices and follow Recommendation 10: Needle removal and hemostasis. Compression devices include fistula clamps, tourniquets or straps. BC Renal Agency (2013) and Fistula First (2015) recommend not using compression devices on new and underdeveloped fistulas with confirmed adequate flow volume. Adhere to infection control practices when cleaning compression devices and do not share compression devices between patients.

**Tips to shorten hemostasis:**

- Rotate cannulation sites – avoid repeated needling of one area (area cannulation).
- Avoid cannulation in aneurysmal areas.
- Consult with the VAC, Clinical Renal Educator, Nephrologist or NP if prolonged hemostasis occurs.

Clinical consideration: Excessive bleeding post dialysis may be a sign of venous outflow stenosis in a patient with normal bleeding times. If prolonged hemostasis is ongoing, assess anticoagulation, review dynamic venous pressure readings, and discuss with the Nephrologist, NP or VAC.

**RECOMMENDATION 11: SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING OF THE AV ACCESS**

The goal of surveillance and monitoring is to accurately identify AV accesses at risk of thrombosis and those that would most likely benefit from a preemptive intervention with angioplasty or surgical revision, while avoiding procedures that are unlikely to provide benefit. Access surveillance and management is an interdisciplinary team function. The patient, Nephrologist, nephrology nurse, technician, interventional radiologist/Nephrologist, surgeon, and primary care physician should all be participants of the team (ANNA, 2013).

According to Polkinghorne (2013), it is important to emphasize the distinction between surveillance and monitoring. Surveillance refers to the practice of systematic monthly screening of the AV access for an underlying stenosis using access blood flow measurement, static VP, or duplex Doppler ultrasound, whereas monitoring is the regular review of the VA, primarily with regular physical examination and other ancillary tests or clinical findings to provide a tailored approach for each individual patient.

Physical examination and clinical monitoring and assessment are the keys to AV access maintenance and should be a part of the standard care of dialysis patients (Asif et al., 2007; Campos et al., 2008; McLafferty et al., 2007; Leon & Asif, 2008; Leon et al., 2008; Paulson et al., 2012; Schuman et al., 2007). Vachharajani (n.d. p. 5-6) recommends monitoring AV accesses at each dialysis treatment by performing a one-minute access exam including look, listen, feel, arm elevation (AV fistula only) and conducting an augmentation test monthly and as needed. Clinical findings that have been associated with AV access dysfunction include physical findings of persistent swelling of the arm, presence of collateral veins, prolonged bleeding after needle withdrawal, or altered characteristics of pulse or thrill in outflow vein or graft (Paulson et al., 2012). An ideal surveillance method should quickly, accurately non-invasively, and economically evaluate access anatomy (e.g. stenosis) and function, and is intended to supplement clinical monitoring. Measurement of dynamic venous measure (VP), measurement of access flow (Qa) and access recirculation or duplex Doppler studies in ultrasound.

**Compression devices:**

- Pressure should not be applied to the needle insertion site until the needle is completely removed from both the vessel and the skin.
- If needle has been flipped after insertion, the needle should be flipped back before withdrawal to avoid coring of the vessel.
- Flipping the fistula needle after insertion or during treatment is strongly discouraged as this may cause coring or tearing of the vessel wall (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015). Fistula First (2015) and NKF, (2006) recommend using fistula needles with a back-eye for both arterial and venous cannulation, which allows blood to enter from the bevel and the back-eye opening, thereby preventing the need for flipping the needle due to increased pre-pump arterial pressure. Follow unit protocols and practices.
- To promote hemostasis, use a two-digit technique by placing one finger at the vein or graft puncture site (internal) and one finger at the skin exit site (external) (Ball, 2005). See Figure 6: Two-digit technique.
- Apply constant digital, localized and direct pressure with two fingers (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Fistula First, 2015).
- After needle removal, stabilize bleeding from needle site prior to holding site(s). If the patient, family member or caregiver is unable to hold needle site(s), nurse to perform this function.
- Pressure should be applied to needle site for a minimum of 10 minutes without releasing pressure. While applying pressure, ensure a thrill can be felt in the access. If a thrill cannot be felt, ease up on pressure and assess thrill.
- Once hemostasis is achieved, cover needle sites with gauze and tape or adhesive bandages (plain or speciality) with or without hemostatic agent. Follow unit protocols and practices.
- If gauze and tape are used, care must be taken not to wrap tape or dressing material circumferentially around the arm to avoid constricting blood flow to the AV access.
- Assess thrill prior to discharging the patient.
- The patient should be instructed to remove the dressing material four to six hours after their dialysis treatment (BC Renal Agency, 2013). If bleeding occurs, instruct patients to apply firm, direct pressure for 15 minutes. If bleeding continues, patients should continue applying pressure and immediately seek emergency medical attention.
- See Table 1: Guidelines for cannulation of AV fistulas and AV grafts; Needle removal and hemostasis.
- See Chapter 1: Recommendation 2: Patient education re: teaching patients to assess thrill and bruit daily.

**Clinical considerations:**

- Excessive bleeding post dialysis may be a sign of venous outflow stenosis in a patient with normal bleeding times.
- If prolonged hemostasis is ongoing, assess anticoagulation, review dynamic venous pressure readings, and discuss with the Nephrologist, NP or VAC.
are noninvasive methods of measuring the blood flow in the AV access (Yeon & Depner, 2002) and may be useful ancillary tests that can help confirm clinical suspicion of stenosis or access dysfunction. Access flow (Qa) and VP are, however, surrogates for stenosis rather than direct measurements. Although these tests are associated with thrombosis, they lack the predictive accuracy needed to be the sole basis for intervention referrals. Thus, Qa and VP should be emphasized as ancillary tests to be used in combination with information obtained from clinical monitoring. Doppler ultrasound has the advantage of directly visualizing a stenosis while providing flow and velocity measurements that help determine the physiological significance of the stenosis. Thus, ultrasound may avoid inaccuracies inherent in surrogate measurements. However, the few available randomized controlled trials have not established whether duplex ultrasound can improve outcomes in grafts.

Clinical consideration: Although the NKF-KDOQI guidelines (2006) suggest that surveillance of fistulae and grafts for hemodynamically significant stenosis, when combined with correction of the anatomic stenosis, may improve patency rates and decrease the incidence of thrombosis, this remains controversial. There is a growing body of evidence that monthly or bi-monthly surveillance with Qa measurement with subsequent angioplasty in the AV access with low blood flows may not improve access outcomes, is costly, and may even be harmful (Abreo et al., 2010; Allon, 2007; Allon & Robbins, 2009; Paulson & White, 2008; Paulson, 2010; Paulson & Work, 2010; Tonelli, James, Wiebe, Jindal, & Hemmelgarn, 2008).

This complex, controversial subject is best discussed by answering the following questions:

1. Does surveillance detect VA access stenosis? The answer is yes. In fact, both an accurate physical exam and surveillance methods including lower access flows are associated with increased stenosis within the vascular access, particularly when the stenosis is at the venous anastomosis. Doppler ultrasound provides information about the anatomy and physiology of the patient’s vascular access and is noninvasive and, therefore, is an attractive option for evaluating and monitoring hemodialysis vascular accesses (Sands, 2002). Furthermore, Sands, Ferrell, and Perry (2002) reported that Duplex Doppler ultrasound accuracy for identifying stenosis was 81% in AVFs, 86% for in-graft stenosis, and 96% for outflow stenosis in AVGs.

2. Does surveillance predict thrombosis or clotting of the AV graft? The answer is most probably no for grafts and maybe for fistulas. Access flow and VP surveillances were found to be inaccurate predictors of graft thrombosis (Dember, Holmberg & Kaufman, 2002; McDougal, & Agarwal, 2001; Paulson, Ram, Birk, & Work, 1999; Paulson, Ram, Birk, et al., 2000; Ram et al., 2008). For example, Ram et al. (2008) studied 176 patients who underwent a total of 1,957 monthly Qa measurements over six years. They evaluated the accuracy of monthly Qa measurements, or percentage decrease in Qa, in predicting thrombosis within the next month. They found that Qa had a sensitivity of 80% at a false-positive rate of 60%. The mean Qa in grafts that did not thrombose the next month was 1,345 ml/min (range 90–4000), and the mean in grafts that did thrombose was 895 ml/min (range 105–2115). Hence, values overlapped widely. Moreover, the majority of thromboses were not preceded by a decrease in Qa measurement, usually because thrombosis occurred before a second measurement could be taken. The authors concluded that they did not support the routine application of Qa surveillance to predict AV graft thrombosis.

3. Does surveillance predict thrombosis in the fistula? The answer is probably yes. Qa surveillance of fistulae was associated with a significantly reduced relative risk of thrombosis, but no significant improvement in fistula survival (Tonelli et al., 2008). The positive result for fistula thrombosis should be considered tentative given that it is based upon only four studies of 360 subjects.

The current results from randomized controlled trials do not support the use of surveillance with angioplasty to prolong the survival of grafts. The use on fistulas is more encouraging, but does require individualization of patients and understanding of the trends in the access flow and the associated clinical findings (Tessitore et al., 2003; Tessitore et al., 2004). Follow unit protocols and consult with the Nephrologist or NP to establish unit specific surveillance of AV accesses.

Further investigation of AV access function and dialysis adequacy should be prompted when:

- AV fistula access flow less than 500 ml/min or drop of more than 20% from previous value.
- AV graft access flow less than 650 ml/min or drop of 20% from previous value.
- Although less predictable the following tests have also been used. Percent of urea reduction (URR) on dialysis is less than 65% or Kt/V less than 1.2 (Jindal et al., 2006; NKF, 2006).
- Access recirculation is identified (Beathard, 2002; Jindal et al., 2006).
- Trend analysis (three consecutive treatments) demonstrates needleling difficulties, increase in venous or arterial pressure or episodes of prolonged bleeding.
- Post angioplasty and post embolectomy (within two weeks of intervention).

Access surveillance can assist with decisions around CVC removal. For patients with dual accesses (CVC and AVF or AVG), assess AV access function, dialysis adequacy and trend analysis to guide decision for timing of CVC removal.
Chapter 2: Recommendations for central venous catheter management in hemodialysis patients

INTRODUCTION

This section addresses the nurse’s role in promoting and maintaining a functional central venous hemodialysis catheter (CVC). Management of other complications such as infection or catheter displacement is beyond the scope of these guidelines.

Nurses assess and evaluate CVCs for occlusion in order to facilitate treatment and improve patient outcomes (RNAO, 2005). RNAO guidelines (2005) suggest minimal access of the CVC to reduce the risk of infection and nosocomial infections. The intraluminal pathway involves the transfer of organisms by contact from the hands of the individual (usually the health care provider) accessing the CVC or the patient’s skin or surrounding clothing to the catheter hubs or caps, resulting in the contamination of the internal catheter surface (Lok & Mokrzycki, 2011; O’Grady et al., 2011).

Eggimann et al. (2000) and Armstrong et al. (1986) suggest that maintenance of intravascular catheters by inexperienced staff may increase catheter colonization and catheter-related bloodstream infections (CR-BSI). O’Grady et al. (2011) recommend limiting access of vascular access to personnel who are adequately trained, that continuous training is provided (Guideline 4.2) (Infusion Nurses standard of Practice, 2011; Jindal et al., 2006; Tordoir et al., 2007), and that accessing is restricted to the provision of hemodialysis unless in emergency situations.

NKF-KDOQI (2006) recommends that aseptic technique should be used when accessing all vascular accesses and staff accessing and manipulating catheters should wear a surgical mask and clean or sterile gloves (Infusion Nursing Standards of Practice, 2011; Jindal et al., 2006; O’Grady et al., 2011). Programs should incorporate regular patient and staff education on the importance of vein preservation (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Jindal et al., 2006; ORN, 2014; Tordoir et al., 2007). Vein preservation includes avoiding use of arm veins for intravenous (IV) placement and routine blood work and using the dorsal aspect of the hand veins for drawing blood and for immediate short-term access when possible.

RECOMMENDATION 1: LONG-TERM USE OF CVC

When compared to AV access, CVCs are associated with higher mortality and morbidity, a 10-fold higher estimated relative risk of bacteremia, a two- to three-fold higher risk of infection-related hospitalization, increased costs related to hospitalizations for catheter-related complications, catheter dysfunction, central vein stenosis, thrombosis, and poor survival (Allon et al., 2003; Allon et al., 2006; Al-Solaiman, Estrada, & Allon, 2011; Charrar, Chazot, Vanel, Terrat, & Hurot, 2001; Engemann et al., 2005; Ethier et al., 2008; Lok & Mokrzycki, 2011; Maki, Kluger, & Crnich, 2006; Manns et al., 2005; Mermel et al., 2009; Moist, Tripski, Na, & Lok, 2008; Nassar & Ayus, 2001; NKF, 2006; Polkinghorne et al., 2004; Polkinghorne, McDonald, Atkins, & Kerr, 2004; Taylor et al., 2004; Wasse, 2008; Xue, Dahl, Ebben & Collins, 2003). Despite these risks, CVCs continue to be widely used in Canada. In fact, the use of CVCs in both incident (70%) and prevalent (33%) dialysis patients is higher in Canada than in Europe and the United States (Ethier et al., 2008; Mendelssohn et al., 2006; Moist et al., 2008). Also in Canada, despite 85% of patients seeing a Nephrologist for more than one month before initiating HD and 79% more than four months, 70% patients initiate HD with a CVC (Mendelssohn et al., 2006).

• CVCs should be restricted to patients requiring acute or emergency dialysis, as a bridge to AV access creation or maturation or peritoneal dialysis, expected renal transplantation within six months, shortened life expectancy, and patients otherwise deemed medically or surgically unsuitable for AV access creation (Battistella, Bhola, & Lok, 2011; Jindal et al., 2006; O’Grady et al., 2011; Rehman, Schmidt, & Moss, 2009; Quinan et al., 2011; Tordoir et al., 2007).

• All catheter-dependent patients should routinely be assessed for appropriate vascular access by the Nephrologist, NP, VAC and Vascular Surgeon (Harland, 1994; ORN, 2014; Quinan et al., 2011). Battistella et al. (2011) and Bhola and Lok (2008) recommend that programs develop multidisciplinary continuous improvement teams to monitor and manage catheter-related infections. Jindal et al. (2006) and Lok and Mokrzycki (2011) recommend that programs establish a quality assurance program to monitor vascular access and track catheter-related bacteremias.

RECOMMENDATION 2: CARE OF CENTRAL VENOUS CATHETERS AND PATIENT EDUCATION

The exit site should be monitored frequently for bleeding and the dressing changed weekly and as needed. If bleeding is observed, apply firm and direct pressure at the source of the bleeding. In most cases, this will stop the bleeding. Application of a hemostatic agent such as Gelfoam® sponge is only useful if bleeding is observed. Gelfoam or other hemostatic agents should not be left on after the bleeding has stopped, as these are potential sources of infection if they are left on for a prolonged period of time (Pharmacia & Upjohn, 1999 update). Follow unit protocols and practices. Prolonged bleeding that is not controlled by applying firm, direct pressure should be reported promptly to the Nephrologist or NP. Prolonged bleeding may require further investigation and management by an interventional radiologist or Vascular Surgeon.
Prior to discharge from the dialysis unit, patient teaching and instructions should include:

- The importance of frequent hand washing and to avoid pulling or tugging on the catheter
- What to do if the dressing becomes soiled or falls off
- What to do if bleeding occurs
- What to do if the catheter falls out
- What to do if a limb clamp breaks and falls off
- What to do in the case of pain, fever or chills, or redness or discharge seen at the catheter exit site
- Who to call with questions or concerns

RECOMMENDATION 3: CENTRAL VENOUS CATHETER – HUB CARE, CLEANING AND DRESSINGS

The I SAVE That Line mnemonic refers to proper care and management of the CVC and vein preservation and includes: Implement insertion care and maintenance bundles; Scrupulous hand hygiene before and after contact with vascular access devices and prior to insertion; Always disinfect every needless connector prior to each access for solution and medication administration, flushing or tube change; Vein preservation; Ensure patency (flush all lumens with adequate amount of saline to maintain patency as per institution policy); initiate thrombotic protocol according to unit policy if lack of blood return or sluggish flow is encountered (Haire & Herbst, 2000). For details, see the Association for Vascular Access at http://www.avainfo.org/website/catalogitemlist.asp?navitemid=258 and Figure 7: I Save That Line.

Hub care

Contamination of the catheter hub during accessing and de-accessing procedures is believed to be a major factor in the pathogenesis factor of CR-BSI (Linhares, Sitges-Serra, Garau, Pérez, & Martin, 1985; O’Grady, 2011; Schwab & Beathard, 1999; Sitges-Serra, Linares, Perez, Jaurrieta, & Lorente, 1985). Contact of the exposed hub with a non-sterile surface, allowing the hub to lie exposed to the air for a prolonged period of time, improper cleansing of the hub, contact with a non-sterile object (hand), or patient or nurse breathing on the exposed hub can result in an infection (Beathard, 2008; CDC, 2001). Care must be taken to reduce the time that the hub is exposed and avoid hub contamination. Attention to hub care at the time of accessing and de-accessing has been shown to result in an almost four-fold decrease in catheter-related bacteremia rates to a level approaching 1 episode/1000 catheter days (Beathard, 2003a). Adopting protocols to include vigorous scrub of the hub and protection of the catheter hub at the time of use is critically important and highly recommended (Beathard, 2003a; NKF, 2006).

Cleaning

O’Grady et al. (2011) recommend cleansing of the catheter hub during accessing and de-accessing and cleaning the catheter exit site and surrounding area during dressing change using Chlorhexidine 2% and 70% alcohol solution. Chlorhexidine 2% with 70% alcohol is considered to be superior to povidone-iodine for cutaneous antisepsis (Chaiyakunapruk, Veenstra, Lipsky, & Saint, 2002; LeBlanc & Cobbett, 2000; Maki, Ringer, & Alvarado, 1991; Minoz et al., 2007; Rosenthal, 2003). If Chlorhexidine is contraindicated, Iodophor (Povidone-iodine) 10% solution or 70% alcohol can be used as an acceptable alternative (Ishizuka, Nagaa, Takagi, & Kubota, 2009; McCann & Moore, 2010). Always allow the cleaning solution to dry completely before applying the dressing material, to promote adherence of the dressing material to the skin and reduce the likelihood of skin breakdown and infection. A Chlorhexidine impregnated sponge dressing may be considered in patients who develop catheter-related infection despite adherence to other strategies (O’Grady et al., 2011). Follow unit protocols and consult the Nephrologist or NP as needed.

Dressing

All dressing materials should be applied using aseptic technique (O’Grady et al., 2011). A review of the literature on the optimal dressing material for CVCs was conducted and the findings are varied. Some studies indicate that the use of transparent, occlusive dressings increase the risk of catheter-related infections when compared to gauze dressings (Gillies et al., 2004; Hoffmann, Weber, Samsa, & Rutala, 1992; Nassar & Ayus, 2001). However, Maki and Ringer (1987), Gillies et al. (2003) and Le Corre, Delorme, and Cournoyer (2003) found no difference in catheter-related infections, fewer dressing changes, lower total treatment costs, and no unfavourable impact on patients’ quality of life.

O’Grady et al. (2011) recommend changing dressings with each dialysis (if exit site is not visible) and changing transparent dressings weekly and as needed. Application of Povidone-iodine ointment and gauze dressing or Polysporin® triple ointment and gauze dressings are
Clinical consideration: Vigilant CVC care is required including adherence to flushing protocols, regular inspection of the catheter and exit site, and routine dressing changes (RNAO, 2005). Follow unit protocols and practices.

Clinical consideration: Follow unit protocols, practices and guidelines for the management and treatment of catheter-related infections and promptly report any signs of infection to the Nephrologist and NP. For exit site infections, catheter dressing and cleansing solutions should be single use, for example, disposable swab stick or pad. Refer to catheter manufacturer recommendations and follow unit protocols for catheter dressings and cleansing solutions (O’Grady et al., 2011; RNAO, 2005), and consult the Nephrologist or NP as needed.

Clinical consideration: Follow unit protocols, practices and guidelines for the management and treatment of catheter-related infections and promptly report any signs of infection to the Nephrologist and NP. For exit site infections, catheters can usually be salvaged with the use of topical and oral antibiotics (Mermel et al., 2001; Schwab et al., 1988; Shusterman, Kloss, & Mullen, 1989). O’Grady et al. (2011) and Lok (2006) recommend that catheter-related infections are reported as a rate per 1,000 catheter days and that programs incorporate standardized terminology to allow for benchmarking.

RECOMMENDATION 4: BLOOD FLOW (QB)

Most central venous hemodialysis catheters are designed to be able to maintain blood flow rates of 400 ml/min (Besarab & Pandey, 2011; Treotola, 2000). Blood flow (QB) rate should be maximized according to venous and arterial pressure readings that should not exceed -250 mm Hg (arterial) and +250 mm Hg (venous) (BC Renal Agency, 2013). Set QB as ordered by the Nephrologist or NP and follow unit protocols. Close monitoring of QB and pre-pump arterial pressures is necessary to ensure quality dialysis and detect catheter dysfunction still amenable to pharmacologic or mechanical intervention (Besarab & Brouwer, 2004). For patients with QB that is consistently <300 mL/min, monitor dialysis adequacy with URR or Kt/V and discuss with the Nephrologist or NP to determine if an intervention is required.

Clinical consideration: The working group and NKF-KDOQI (2006) recommend that programs establish a threshold for a minimum blood flow rate and if the catheter continues to malfunction for two subsequent dialysis treatments, to intervene promptly. Dialysis adequacy should be routinely assessed for all catheter-dependent patients to ensure that dialysis clearance is adequate. A reduction in blood flow rate of more than 20% during three consecutive treatments and/or a decrease in the URR or Kt/V are potential indicators of catheter malfunction and should be investigated.

RECOMMENDATION 5: ASSESSMENT OF CVC PATENCY

Routine flushing with 0.9% normal saline (NS) is recommended to maintain catheter patency (Barton, Danek, Johns, & Coons, 1998; Canadian Intravenous Nurses Association, 1999; Haire & Herbst, 2000; O’Grady et al., 2011; RNAO, 2005), and should be incorporated into standards of practice for accessing and de-accessing CVCs. Flushing of catheter lumens prevents the mixing of incompatible medications or solutions within the catheter lumen and assists in clearing the catheter of blood or fibrin buildup (Nelson et al., 2005). Infusion Nursing Standards of Practice (2011) recommend syringe size no smaller than 10 mL for accessing and de-accessing central venous access devices (including CVCs), and suggest following manufacturer’s instructions for use.

Restoring and maintaining catheter patency is imperative in order to salvage the central venous access device (CVAD), and leads to improved patient outcomes and resource utilization (Barton, Danek, Johns, & Coons, 1998; Hadaway, 2006). Always practice aseptic no-touch technique when accessing and de-accessing CVCs and access one lumen at a time to reduce the time blood remains in the lumen. Follow infection control practices and guidelines.

Clinical consideration: Follow unit policies and practices when accessing and de-accessing (aspirating, irrigating and flushing) CVCs. To maximize catheter function and dialysis adequacy, connect the CVC to bloodlines in straight position (arterial lumen to arterial bloodline and venous lumen to venous bloodline (A-A and V-V). If line reversal is necessary (e.g. A-V or V-A), follow unit protocols and practices regarding lytic therapy and notify the Nephrologist or NP, as needed.

RECOMMENDATION 6: ASSESSING CVC FUNCTION AND DYNAMIC VENOUS PRESSURE MONITORING

The criteria for determining catheter dysfunction includes QB <300 ml and is qualified by the pre-pump arterial pressure (Pa) (Depner, 2001; Dutka & Brickel, 2010), which factors in the length and lumen diameter of the catheter (Little, Conlon, & Walshe, 2000; Twardowski & Haynie, 2002). Resistance in achieving desired blood flow is indicated by arterial and venous pressure readings during HD (LeBlanc, Bosc, Fganini, & Canaud, 1997). Pa monitoring is essential to ensure valid blood flows and adequacy is determined largely by the amount of blood pumped to and through the dialyzer (Canaud, Leray-Moragues, Kerkeni, Bosc, & Martin, 2002;
McKnight, 2004). Catheter dysfunction manifests as an inability to infuse fluids, increased resistance when flushing, brisk or free-flowing blood return on aspiration, and sluggish flow through the catheter (Hadaway, 2005; Little et al., 2001; McKnight, 2004). Catheter dysfunction manifests as an inability to achieve Qb of 300 ml or greater during the first hour of dialysis despite at least one attempt to improve flow. CPG-VA defines catheter dysfunction as inability to attain and maintain an extracorporeal blood flow of 300 mL/min or greater at a pre-pump arterial pressure more negative than −250 mm Hg (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Jindal, 2006). Moist, Hemmelgarn and Lok (2006) suggest that setting a single blood flow rate of <300 ml/min due to an increase in venous or arterial pressure limits.

Clinical consideration: Although catheter dysfunction can occur in long-term catheters that previously functioned well, catheter dysfunction which occurs after two weeks of catheter insertion or exchange is more likely due to progressive occlusion of the catheter tip by fibrin or thrombus (CPG 7.1) (NKF, 2006). Early identification of catheter dysfunction allows for prompt intervention with lytic therapy and catheter salvage (Besarab & Pandey, 2011; Deitcher et al., 2002). Consult the Nephrologist or NP.

RECOMMENDATION 7: MANAGEMENT OF CENTRAL VENOUS CATHETER DYSFUNCTION

The NKF-KDOQI Guidelines (2006) define access dysfunction as the inability to achieve Qb of 300 ml or greater during the first hour of dialysis despite at least one attempt to improve flow. CPG-VA defines catheter dysfunction as inability to attain and maintain an extracorporeal blood flow of 300 mL/min or greater at a pre-pump arterial pressure more negative than −250 mm Hg (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Jindal, 2006). Moist, Hemmelgarn and Lok (2006) suggest that setting a single blood flow rate of <300 ml/min due to define dialysis inadequacy and need for intervention will result in unnecessary interventions and associated increased costs. The authors recommend expanding the definition of catheter dysfunction beyond blood flow rates.

The four main signs of catheter occlusion are (1) lack of brisk or free-flowing blood return on aspiration, (2) inability to infuse fluids, (3) increased resistance when flushing, and (4) sluggish flow though the catheter (Hadaway, 2005; McKnight, 2004). Catheter dysfunction manifests as an increase in venous and/or arterial pressure that limit the achievable blood flow rate and can be caused by thrombosis, fibrin sheath, central vein stenosis, or catheter malposition (Carson, Kiasi, & MacRae, 2005; Oliver et al., 2007). The fibrin sheath acts as a nidus for thrombus and biofilm formation (Jindal et al., 2006). Signs of access dysfunction include inadequate dialysis dose (Kt/V less than 1.2) and may lead to increased morbidity and mortality (Owen, Chertow, Lazarus, & Lowrie, 1998; Segal, Dor, & Tsai, 2001; USRDS, 2013), decline in Qb during last 30 min of a hemodialysis session and pre-pump negative arterial pressure >250 mmHg (Besarab et al., 2006; Besarab & Pandey, 2011). Catheter dysfunction can be caused by thrombosis, fibrin sheath, infections associated with thrombotic occlusion or malposition, and result in interruption of therapy due to cancelled or delayed treatment, and unnecessary line replacement (Hadaway, 2005; Little et al., 2001; McKnight, 2004; National Institute of Health, 1999; NKF, 2006). Catheter dysfunction also results in an increase in resource utilization and health care costs (Kokotis, 2005; Manns et al., 2005; Rocco, Bleyer, & Burkart, 1996).

Early detection of catheter occlusion or dysfunction should promote prompt lytic therapy to salvage the indwelling catheter and minimize the extent of inadequacy of dialysis as the result of low Qb, thereby avoiding or delaying the need for catheter replacement (Besarab & Pandey, 2011; Deicher, et al., 2001; Jindal et al., 2006). Patients who frequently experience catheter malfunction (poor flow) and require alteplase (Cathflo®) to restore line patency should be assessed by the Nephrologist or NP to review anticoagulation agents, and further investigate for hypercoagulability or malignancy. In some cases, the Nephrologist or NP will request a line change, disruption of fibrin sheath, or angioplasty of central veins in interventional radiology.

RECOMMENDATION 8: RECIRCULATION

NKF-KDOQI Guidelines (2006) suggest that any recirculation is abnormal and a relatively late predictor of access dysfunction, and that line reversal should be used as a temporary method to allow for dialysis treatment for patients requiring urgent dialysis. Recirculation and lack of thrombustreatment are two reasons why line reversal is discouraged. The percentage of recirculation in a functional catheter (non-reversed position) is estimated at less than 5% and 13% in a non-functional catheter when in reversed position (Atherikul et al., 1998; Canaud et al, 2002; Crespo et al., 1999; Hassan, Frenchi, & Bastani, 2002; Sefer et al., 2003). NKF- See Chapter 2: Recommendation 7: Management of central venous catheter dysfunction and Recommendation 8: Recirculation, and follow unit protocols and practices.

In clinical practice, the inability to withdraw sufficient blood from the arterial lumen only results in line reversal (inversion of inlet and outlet catheter lumens). Although line reversal may increase urea clearance by allowing increase of blood flow temporarily (Atherikul, Schwab, & Conlon, 1998), it usually is at a blood flow rate less than 300 ml/min and should never be used except temporarily until the problem is definitively corrected (NKF, 2006).
Recirculation with line reversal in femoral hemodialysis catheters is significantly greater than in internal jugular CVC (13.1% versus 0.4%) (Level et al., 2002). Carson et al. (2005) demonstrate that it is possible to achieve acceptable urea clearance in dysfunctional catheters with line reversal if Qb is greater than 300 ml/min.

Follow unit policies and protocols regarding line reversal and treatment of thrombus with thrombolytics.

Clinical consideration: Regular assessment of dialysis performance is strongly recommended to ensure dialysis adequacy (Canaud et al., 2002; Henning, 2007). Dialysis adequacy includes review of URR or Kt/V, serum potassium, Qb, presence of clotting in circuit or dialyzer, and patient’s clinical presentation/symptoms. Catheter dysfunction should prompt a thorough reevaluation including thrombolytics, imaging with routine PA and Lateral chest x-ray to assess tip position (Dutka & Brickel, 2010; NKF, 2006), and potentially catheter exchange (Carson et al., 2005). A protocolized approach is recommended for the management of dysfunctional hemodialysis catheters (BC Renal Agency, 2013; Jindal et al., 2006).

See Flowchart 4: Approach to dysfunctional hemodialysis central venous catheters.

RECOMMENDATION 9: THROMBOLYTIC AGENT

Thrombolytic therapy directed at catheter salvage should be considered before access replacement because it is the least invasive and least costly of all catheter salvage techniques (Beserab et al., 2006; Beserab & Pandey, 2011; Jindal et al., 2006; Haire & Herbst, 2000; Haymond, Shalansky, & Jastzebski, 2005; O’Mara, Ali, Bivens, Sherman, & Kapoian, 2003; Savader, 2001).

Thrombolysis can be carried out at the bedside using an appropriate thrombolytic agent such as alteplase delivered in a concentration of 1 mg/ml to fill the catheter lumen volume up to a maximum of 2 mg in 2 ml for patients weighing over or equal to 30 kg (NKF, 2006; Canadian Product Monograph, 2003). For catheter lumen volume that exceeds 2 ml, additional lumen volume may be filled through the instillation of 0.9% NS solution behind the thrombolytic in the amount required to fill the lumen in order to ensure that the alteplase reaches the catheter tip (Semba et al., 2000). NKF (2006) and Jindal et al. (2006) recommend treating both lumens with alteplase. Always follow unit protocols, practices and manufacturer’s instructions as overfill volumes vary.

The terms “dwell”, “push”, “infusion”, and “lock or extended dwell” are defined as follows: Dwell: Instillation of thrombolytic agent (as per unit protocol) into catheter lumen for a specified time interval to allow lysis to occur, then removal of drug, assessment of catheter patency and initiation of dialysis.

Push or Advancing Protocol: Instillation of thrombolytic agent (as per unit protocol) into catheter lumens, attach syringe filled with 0.9% NS and subsequent advancement of the drug by pushing or advancing with 2 ml of 0.9% NS into catheter lumens at 10-minute intervals.

Infusion: Infusion can be intradialytic or interdialytic, and involves administration of thrombolytic agent via infusion pump over a period of time via the HD circuit or directly into the catheter lumens.

Lock or extended dwell: Instillation of thrombolytic agent into catheter lumens in replacement of Citrate or Heparin lock to ensure catheter patency during the interdialytic period.

Short dwell (30 min – sample protocol)

For CVC lumen with a volume less than 2 ml, instill alteplase 2 mg (1 mg/ml) into each lumen

For CVC lumen with a volume greater than 2 ml, instill alteplase 2 mg (1 mg/ml) then add 0.9% sodium chloride in a separate syringe to fill the internal volume of each CVC lumen (plus 0.1 ml overfill). Post dwell, aspirate solution and clot(s). If unable to withdraw, slowly instill alteplase.

Administer a second dose for 30 min if the first dose is not effective in restoring line patency (Dutka & Brickel, 2010; Jindal et al., 1999).

See Flowchart 4: Approach to dysfunctional hemodialysis central venous catheters.

Infusion method (60 min – Sample protocol)

Jindal et al. (2006) recommend adding alteplase 4mg (1mg/ml) to 100 ml 0.9% sodium chloride mini bag and infuse over one hour. Reverse bloodlines and infuse via venous medication port. If both limbs of the catheter are sluggish, lines can be reversed after 30 minutes.

Choose one:
1. If both lumens are problematic, infuse 50 ml of alteplase solution (via venous medication port) into one lumen and then attempt to reverse bloodlines and infuse the remaining 50 ml of the alteplase solution into the other lumen.
2. If unable to reserve bloodlines or only one lumen is problematic, infuse 100 ml of the alteplase solution via venous medication port over 60 minutes.

Infusion method (3 hours – Sample protocol)

Use of 2.5 mg of alteplase infusion through each port over a three-hour period (Savader et al., 2001; Dowling et al., 2004; Jindal et al., 2006) or 4 mg over one hour. Reverse bloodlines and infuse alteplase via infusion pump into the venous drip chamber. If both limbs are sluggish, reverse lines after 30 minutes (Jindal et al., 2006).

RECOMMENDATION 10: INTERDIALYTIC CATHETER LOCKING

The purpose of capping or locking catheter lumens with an anticoagulant agent is to maintain catheter patency, reduce the risk of clot formation and prevent blood from backing up into the catheter lumens during the interdialytic period (Nelson et al., 2005; RNAO, 2005). To optimize catheter function and maintain patency, ensure that accessing and de-accessing protocols are followed (See Table 3: Steps for accessing and de-accessing CVC).
### Table 3: Steps for accessing and de-accessing CVC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flush Schedule</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessing a CVC for the initiation of dialysis treatment</td>
<td>Step 1: Remove the luer-lock cap and clean the hub. If closed system, high flow needleless style caps are used, follow manufacturer’s recommendations and unit protocols and practices for cleaning and changing of caps.</td>
<td>Optional: prior to removing the luer-lock cap, disinfect the caps and part of the hub with antiseptic pad for each hub or catheter limb. Always handle the catheter hubs aseptically. After removing the luer-lock cap, clean the hub and ensure that the disinfected hub does not touch nonsterile surfaces. Follow the “Scrub-the-hub protocol” (Association of Vascular Access, 2014; Haire &amp; Herbst, 2000; O’Grady et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 2: Aspirate 3-5 ml of blood and anticoagulant (locking) solution from lumen. Discard the syringe.</td>
<td>Aspiration ensures removal of anticoagulant (locking) solution (or potential blood clot) while assessing patency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 3: If no resistance is felt with aspiration of blood and anticoagulant solution, attach a 10 ml syringe of 0.9% normal saline (NS) and flush lumen using turbulent flushing technique.</td>
<td>Turbulent flush clears the catheter walls, eliminates debris adhering to the catheter’s internal wall and prepares catheter for instillation of anticoagulant (locking) solution (Hadaway, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 4: Repeat steps with second lumen and initiate dialysis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 5: If resistance is felt with aspiration of blood and anticoagulant solution with either lumen, attach a 10 ml syringe of 0.9% NS, flush and aspirate (irrigate) lumen(s) repeatedly while assessing patency.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 6: If patency is established, initiate dialysis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 7: If unable to aspirate from lumen, gently flush lumen with 10 ml syringe of 0.9% NS. Aim to connect lumens of CVC in the straight position (arterial limb to arterial blood line and venous limb to venous blood line (A-A and V-V).</td>
<td>Observe for bleeding if unable to aspirate anticoagulant (locking) solution. If line reversal is necessary in order to initiate dialysis treatment (A-V), follow unit protocols and practices with use of thrombolytic therapy for line reversal and notifying the Nephrologist or NP. See Recommendation 7: Management of Central Venous Catheter Dysfunction; Recommendation 8: Recirculation and Recommendation 9: Thrombolytic agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 8: If no resistance felt with flushing, initiate dialysis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 9: If unable to aspirate from both lumens and flushing of lumens is sluggish, treat with lytic therapy.</td>
<td>Follow unit protocols and practices with use of lytic therapy and notifying the Nephrologist or NP. See Recommendation 9: Thrombolytic agent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Various types of catheter locking agents, thrombetics and methods of administration are available and local protocols vary. It is the recommendation of the working group that individual programs periodically review efficacy of unit protocols and methods of administration to optimize patient outcomes.

Criteria for selecting the type and method of administration of anticoagulant agents should include:

✔ Administer the lowest possible dose to achieve optimal catheter function and dialysis adequacy (Table 5: Interdialytic catheter locking solutions and protocols).

✔ Adequate dosing without increasing risks of bleeding.

Clinical consideration: A fraction of locking solution will leak into the systemic circulation (Bayes, Bonal, & Romero, 1999; Karasaalan et al., 2001) and the specific gravity of the locking solution likely influences the rate of leak (Polaschegg & Shah, 2003).

Clinical consideration: 0.9% Normal Saline and needleless system: Krishnan, Mayne, Farthing et al. (2012) report that locking with normal saline 0.9% and needlefree closed system (neutral displacement) connectors demonstrate efficacy and cost benefits, as compared to traditional locking solutions. Brunelli, Njord, Hunt and Sibbel (2014) report that Tego connectors may reduce the incidence of CR-BSI, and use of thrombolytics and IV antibiotics in hemodialysis patients.

Clinical consideration: Trisodium Citrate: Studies by Grudzinski et al., 2007, Lok et al., 2007, and Pierce and Rocco, 2010, using 4% Trisodium Citrate as a catheter locking solution report the following advantages: increased accuracy of international normalized ratio (INR) measurements from central venous catheters; decreased risks of incidental bleeding and comparable patency to Heparin without an increased use of thrombolytics. Volume of Citrate instilled is variable depending on unit protocols, but anecdotal evidence suggests that 2.5 ml instilled in each lumen regardless of lumen volume is not associated with increased risk of bleeding.
Flowchart 4: Approach to dysfunctional hemodialysis
Central Venous Catheters

Yes
Able to maintain continuous blood pump speed at >200 mL/min and unable to achieve dialysis adequacy targets of QB <300 mL/min and Kt/V below target

No
Rule-out volume depletion, mechanical or external factors (kinking), reposition patient, and follow steps for irrigating and flushing catheter lumens in Table 3 and Table 4

Blood pump speed >300 mL/min
Complete dialysis treatment and cap or lock catheter lumens as per standard unit protocol (4% sodium citrate or heparin)

Blood pump speed >200 mL/min and <300 mL/min
Cap or lock catheter lumens post dialysis with Alteplase (Cathflo®)

Blood pump speed >300 mL/min
Patency restored?
Yes
Retransfuse blood and flush both catheter lumens with 0.9% NS using 10 mL syringe and turbulent flush method. Instill Alteplase (Cathflo®) to both catheter lumens using dwell, push protocol or infusion method as per unit protocol

No
Complete treatment and cap or lock catheter lumens as per standard unit protocol (4% sodium citrate or heparin)

Blood pump speed >200 mL/min and <300 mL/min
Cap or lock catheter lumens post dialysis with Alteplase (Cathflo®)

Blood pump speed >300 mL/min
Patency restored?
Yes
Consult Nephrologist or Nurse Practitioner for consideration of intervention, further investigation, anticoagulant agent or thrombolytics with repeat Alteplase (Cathflo®)

No
Repeat Alteplase (Cathflo®) protocol as per unit protocol

Blood pump speed >200 mL/min and <300 mL/min
Patency restored?
Yes
Complete treatment and cap or lock catheter lumens post dialysis with Alteplase (Cathflo®)

No
Complete treatment and cap or lock catheter lumens as per standard unit protocol (4% sodium citrate or heparin)

Cap or lock catheter lumens post dialysis with Alteplase (Cathflo®)

Rule-out volume depletion, mechanical or external factors (kinking), reposition patient, and follow steps for irrigating and flushing catheter lumens in Table 3 and Table 4
Clinical consideration: Anticoagulant and Thrombotic Agents and Anticoagulant and Antibiotic Combinations: Although several studies indicate a reduction in the rate of bacte- remia and need for catheter replacement with the use of topical and intraluminal antibiotic locking solution, use should be reserved for patients with recurrent episodes of CR-BSI, balanced by the potential for side effects, toxicity, allergic reactions, or emergence of resistance associated with the antimicrobial agent (James et al., 2008; Onder et al., 2008). Recommendations by O’Grady et al. (2011), and James et al. (2008), include using prophylactic antimicrobial locking solution in patients with long-term catheters who have a history of multiple CR-BSI despite optimal maximal adherence to aseptic technique. Quality approaches to reducing central venous catheter-related infections involving a Failure Modes Effects Analysis (FMEA) has proven to be an effective method in reducing infection rates and improving patient outcomes (Strong & Mukai, 2010).

IDENTIFICATION OF RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES

During the review of these vascular access guidelines, gaps were identified in research in the area of nursing management of hemodialysis vascular access.

The following is a list of gaps in research that offer potential opportunities for future nursing research topics that may have the potential to benefit hemodialysis patients through improved outcomes:

Interventions

- Impact of implementing expert cannulators model to improve success of cannulating new fistulas, difficult accesses and or grafts.
- Use of tourniquets versus no tourniquet for cannulation of AV fistula among expert cannulators.
- Clinical experience with early cannulation grafts (Flixene) cannulation within 24–72 hours.
- Management of needle infiltrations (ice versus cold compresses versus warmth).
- Efficacy of using various locking solutions to improve line patency and prevent hemodialysis catheter flow malfunction.

- Efficacy of various methods of administration of thrombolytic agents for the treatment of catheter malfunction.
- Optimal patency or blood flow rates of hemodialysis central venous catheters.
- Definition of catheter malfunction from a nursing perspective.

Monitoring/evaluation

- Access monitoring — approaches to scheduling and workload.
- Access monitoring — efficacy in maintaining access patency.
- Access monitoring — when to intervene.
- Development and validation of evaluation tools for identifying and quantifying expert cannulators.

Education

- Effectiveness of a targeted patient education program on the prevalence of AV fistulae in a hemodialysis unit.
- Certification of cannulation skill levels (novice to expert).
- Effectiveness of a patient-specific self-monitoring tool used to monitor access performance in maintenance of access patency and function.
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CASE 1

Ms. Best is a 60-year-old female who arrived in the dialysis unit for her first hemodialysis treatment. The team in the Chronic Kidney Disease Clinic has followed her for the past few years and her vascular access is a left arm radio-cephalic arteriovenous (AV) fistula, which was created three months ago.

Questions 1–4 refer to this case

1. After introducing yourself to Ms. Best and orienting her to the dialysis treatment, the nephrology nurse’s first step towards cannulation of Ms. Best’s new AV fistula should be to:
   a) cleanse the forearm using 10% povidone-iodine solution or 2% Chlorhexidine solution, according to unit policy
   b) gather the cannulation supplies, taking into consideration the need for small-sized (17-gauge) fistula needles
   c) carefully and thoroughly assess the AV fistula by performing inspection, auscultation, and palpation procedures
   d) explain the cannulation procedure to the patient and ask where she would like the needles placed

2. In order to maximize the longevity of the fistula, the nephrology nurse cannulating Ms. Best’s fistula should:
   a) always cannulate in the same areas to ensure that cannulation is successful and to promote the nurses confidence
   b) rotate cannulation sites using the rope ladder cannulation technique
   c) always place the arterial needle retrograde towards the flow of the fistula
   d) only cannulate with 17-gauge needle for the first 6 months that the fistula is in use

3. The nephrology nurse should teach Ms. Best to examine her fistula:
   a) daily
   b) weekly
   c) monthly
   d) bi-monthly

4. Buttonhole cannulation (BH) technique has been described as a method that may prolong the use of the fistula and result in less painful cannulation. BH cannulation involves placement of the fistula needles in the exact same site until the tunnel tracks are developed. An important component of tunnel track creation that the nephrology nurse needs to be aware of is that:
   a) tunnel tracks can be created by many nurses for a single patient
   b) BH tunnel tracks can be created in as few as three or four treatments but often take six to 10 treatments
   c) tunnel track creation requires the same angle, depth and site of needle insertion
   d) after the first sharp cannulation, dull BH needles should be used for cannulation

End of Case 1

CASE 2

Mr. Jones is a 65-year-old male with diabetic nephropathy who has missed several appointments at the Chronic Kidney Disease Clinic. Three months ago, Mr. Jones needed to start on hemodialysis urgently and a central venous catheter was inserted by interventional radiologist. Mr. Jones had an arteriovenous fistula created in his left forearm four weeks ago and the Nephrologist has orders for initiation of cannulation. Today, the nephrology nurse is planning on cannulating the fistula of Mr. Jones for the first time.

Questions 5–7 refer to this case

5. When a new arteriovenous (AV) fistula is cannulated in the presence of an existing central venous catheter, the approach to cannulation should include:
   a) the same protocol as for use of a new AV access without an existing central venous catheter (based on clinical assessment of fistula maturation)
   b) the insertion of only one needle at the first cannulation, should always be used for venous supply
   c) the insertion of only one needle at the first cannulation, should always be used for arterial supply
   d) the insertion of two needles, with a blood flow rate of 400 ml/min for the first two treatments

6. Four weeks later, the nephrology nurse has been able to cannulate the fistula of Mr. Jones with two needles on a regular basis and obtain prescribed blood flow rates. The recommended fistula flow rates for Mr. Jones, as measured by access flow technology are:
   a) > 200 ml/min
   b) > 300 ml/min
   c) > 400 ml/min
   d) > 500 ml/min

7. The risk of bacteremia is highest for patients on hemodialysis who have:
   a) a lower arm arteriovenous fistula
   b) a central venous catheter
   c) an upper arm arteriovenous graft
   d) a loop arteriovenous graft

End of Case 2
CASE 3
Mr. Smith is a 25-year-old male with end stage renal disease secondary to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), and had a deceased donor renal transplant two years ago. Recently, his original kidney disease has recurred in the transplanted kidney and he is now requiring dialysis thrice weekly. His vascular access is a right tunneled central venous hemodialysis catheter (CVC).

Questions 8–15 refer to this case

8. After the CVC insertion, a small amount of fresh blood was noted by the nurse on the dressing material. The nephrology nurse should first:
   a) reinforce the existing dressing
   b) identify the source of bleeding
   c) order an international normalized ratio (INR)
   d) call radiology immediately

9. Mr. Smith’s CVC should be considered:
   a) a bridge to a permanent arteriovenous (AV) access, preferably a fistula
   b) the only vascular access he will need as he will go back on the transplant list
   c) a good, long-term vascular access with a low complication rate
   d) an issue of low importance, as he is having difficulty accepting his return to hemodialysis

10. Mr. Smith’s catheter usually delivers blood flow (Qb) of 400 ml/min and his urea reduction ratio (URR) or percent reduction of urea (PRU) is >70%. The nephrology nurse should be first concerned about Mr. Smith’s CVC performance when:
    a) the maximum achievable Qb is <300 ml/min for more than three consecutive treatments with frequent venous and arterial pressure alarms
    b) the maximum achievable Qb is <250 ml/min for more than three consecutive treatments with frequent venous and arterial pressure alarms
    c) the maximum achievable Qb is <200 ml/min for more than three consecutive treatments with frequent venous and arterial pressure alarms
    d) there has been a decrease in the URR or PRU by >20% over the last month

11. Mr. Smith’s CVC is functioning poorly with a maximum achievable Qb of <250 ml/min and frequent arterial and venous pressure alarms. The Nephrologist/ NP orders instillation of alteplase (Cathflo®) to restore line patency using a push protocol. Prior to initiating the algorithm, the nephrologist/NP should:
    a) check to see if the patient has a therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR)
    b) check whether or not the patient has a heparin or citrate lock protocol ordered
    c) determine if this is a new central venous catheter, inserted less than one week ago
    d) determine if the patient has fluid volume overload

12. If a thrombolytic agent, for example alteplase (Cathflo®), is ordered by the physician to “lock” or “cap” the catheter, the nephrology nurse would instill alteplase to fill the catheter lumens:
    a) for one hour, then resume the dialysis treatment
    b) for two hours, then resume the dialysis treatment
    c) for 24 hours and schedule a treatment on a non-dialysis day
    d) for 48 hours, until the next dialysis treatment

13. To assist in maintenance of CVC patency, the Canadian Intravenous Nurses Association (CINA) recommends:
    a) gentle flushes with 5 ml of normal saline solution when accessing the catheter for initiation of dialysis treatment
    b) turbulent flushes with 5 ml of normal saline solution when accessing the catheter for initiation of dialysis treatment
    c) gentle flushes with 10 ml of normal saline solution prior to instilling the catheter locking solution after the dialysis treatment has been completed
    d) turbulent flushes with 10 ml of normal saline solution both before and after dialysis treatment

14. In order to identify catheter dysfunction, the nephrology nurse should document arterial and venous pressures at the beginning of every dialysis treatment with the blood pump speed set at:
    a) 100 ml/min
    b) 200 ml/min
    c) 300 ml/min
    d) 400 ml/min

15. Trends in dynamic venous pressure monitoring should be reviewed for Mr. Smith by the nephrology team at least:
    a) monthly
    b) bi-monthly
    c) six monthly
    d) yearly

End of Case 3
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POST-TEST ANSWER GRID
Please circle your answer choice:
1. a b c d
2. a b c d
3. a b c d
4. a b c d
5. a b c d
6. a b c d
7. a b c d
8. a b c d
9. a b c d
10. a b c d
11. a b c d
12. a b c d
13. a b c d
14. a b c d
15. a b c d

EVALUATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The offering met the stated objectives.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The content was related to the objectives.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. This study format was effective for the content.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Minutes required to read and complete:</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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